



An overview of IMDAA Regional Reanalysis

Indira Rani S

National Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting (NCMRWF), Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES), A-50, Sector-62, Noida, UP-201309, India

Acknowledgments: IMDAA is a collaborative project among NCMRWF, IMD, and the UK Met Office under the National Monsoon Mission (NMM) project of the Ministry of Earth Sciences, GOI.



What is Data Assimilation?

- Models give a complete description of the atmosphere, but errors grow rapidly in time
- Observations provide an **incomplete description** of the atmospheric state, but bring up to date information
- Data assimilation **combines** these two sources of information to produce an optimal (best) estimate of the atmospheric state
- This state (the *analysis*) is used as **initial conditions** for extended forecasts.
- Operational NWP data assimilation system changes when assimilation methods and assimilating models improve – this creates discontinuities in the "analyses", from one system to another.



What is Reanalysis?

Want a very long time – series of atmospheric data, Climate monitoring





An **analysis** is an estimation of the most likely current state of the atmosphere given observations and previous forecast.

- A **reanalysis** is similar to analysis, but for a historical period. Usually a long series of reanalyses are produced using a frozen system.
- Reanalyses provide comprehensive snapshots of atmospheric conditions at regular grids and intervals over long time periods; whereas observation alone can provide only a limited understanding of the past weather and climate.



Aims and uses of Reanalysis

- Frozen modern assimilation scheme
- Best use of all appropriate, available observations
 - No `cut-off'
 - Consider using more observations than operational analysis
- Produce a long time-series of reanalyses (usually decades)

Uses

- produce a scientific resource
- validate models
- study use of observations/assimilation system
- study historical weather events
- study large and small variations in weather
- study predictability
- produce weather statistics
- study extreme events
- to lead into operational climate monitoring
- link observation record to climate models
- AI/ML, Data Science

User communities

Scientific and modelling community: reanalysis data as a proxy for "truth", for initialization, or as boundary condition

Observation community: reanalysis for data quality monitoring

Scientific analysers: process studies, time series analysis

AI/ML: Longterm data

Need data where none are observed



Reanalysis Types



- Climate Monitoring
- Use all available observations

Climate Change

Use only observations available throughout

Why do we need Regional Reanalysis

- Low resolution (global) reanalyses are not suited for studying local and regional scales due to inadequate descriptions of mesoscale features.
- Production of high-resolution global reanalysis is expensive (Cost of computing)
- High resolution regional reanalysis is a plausible choice, which can represent mesoscale weather more realistically.
- User Products: 'Complete' multivariate, gridded climate datasets for users (DA combines model and wide variety of observations in consistent manner).
- **Regional focus:** Benefits of high-resolution (resolved orography, high-impact weather), local focus.
- Model evaluation: Testbed for extended period (> 35 years) evaluation of regional Weather/Climate Model.
- Boundary conditions for the regional reanalysis come from a global reanalysis.

IMDAA Regional Reanalysis

• Indian Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA) project is a collaborative effort among National Centre for medium Range Weather Forecasting (NCMRWF), UK Met Office and India Meteorological Department (IMD) under the National Monsoon Mission (NMM) project of Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES), Government of India.

• State of the art assimilation method (4D-Var) and NWP model (Unified Model, UM (10.2) are used for producing this highest resolution satellite-era regional reanalysis over the Indian Monsoon region from 1979-2018, extended up to 2020. As a continuity to the IMDAA reanalysis, NCMRWF started disseminating Near-real time "IMDAA Like" products derived from the operational NCUM model

• There was considerable growth and improvement in the atmospheric observing system during the reanalysis period that helped IMDAA reanalysis.

• Hourly/3-hourly temporal resolution, 12km spatial resolution IMDAA dataset is available to the researchers (<u>https://rds.ncmrwf.gov.in/datasets</u>)

•For more details: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0412.1

IMDAA Regional Reanalysis

C https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/	$\forall \mathscr{Y}$	Q	20	£≡	Ē	•	
AMS Solution Journals	JOURNALS BROWSE PUBLISH SUBSCRIBE ABOUT					Sign in Q	Sign up , Search
Journal of Climate Journal of Climate ■: Volume 34: Issue 12 • Sections • References • Figures	Editorial Type: Article Article Type: Research Article IMDAA: High-Resolution Satellite-Era Reanalysis for the Indian Monson S. Indira Rani ¹ , T. Arulalan ¹ , John P. George ¹ , E. N. Rajagopal ¹ , Richard Renshaw ² , Adam Maycock ² , Dale M. Barker ² , and M. Rajeevan ³ ¹ ^a National Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, In Office, Exeter, United Kingdom ³ ^c Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi, India Published-online: 14 May 2021 Print Publication: 01 Jun 2021 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0412.1 Page(s): 5109–5133 Article History	on Regi View Less ndia ^{2 b} Met	on –		Dpen acce	ss <u>View li</u>	:ense "" "" "" "" "" "" ""
 ✓ Cited By ✓ Metrics 	Abstract/Excerpt Full Text PDF Supplementary Materials Abstract						
 ✓ Related Content 	A high-resolution regional reanalysis of the Indian Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDA deeper understanding of the Indian monsoon and its variability. This 12-km resolution reanalysis cov a 4D-Var data assimilation method and the U.K. Met Office Unified Model is presently the highest reaction and reactions from different sources are used.	A) project ering the sa esolution at	is mad atellite mosph	e availat era from eric rean	ble to rese 1 1979 to 1alysis ca	earchers f 2018 usir rried out f	or Ig čor

IMDAA Domain



Salient Features

Period	1979 - 2018
	Extended to 2020 (42 Years)
Domain	30 - 120° E, 15° S to 45° N
Lateral Boundary	ERA-Interim
Condition (LBC)	
Data Assimilation	4D-Var (Atmosphere)
Method	Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) method for
	Soil Moisture
Atmospheric Model	Unified Model
Horizontal Resolution	12 km (~0.11°)
Vertical Resolution	63 model levels (upto ~ 40 km altitude)
Observation Source	ECMWF, NCMRWF, UK Met Office, IMD
Surface	Soil Moisture Analysis
	4 soil levels of soil covering first 3 meters
	Sea Surface Temperature:
	HadSST2, OSTIA analysis

Timeline of observations used in IMDAA



Important aspects of IMDAA:

1. Use of historical data from IMD/NCMRWF archival

2. Use of many satellite observations

3. Variational bias correction for satellite radiances

4. Use of state of the art "4D-Var" data assimilation method

5.Soil moisture assimilation using EKF method

Highlights of IMDAA: Use of Historical data from various sources

- Main source of observations for IMDAA reanalysis is ECMWF archival, obtained through UK Met Office.
- IMD archival of Surface & upper air observations (and NCMRWF and UK Met Office archival of satellite observations) are also used.

Surface observations over IMDAA domain (April 2014 00Z)





Conventional Observations assimilated (JJAS)

Highlights of IMDAA: Use of large number Satellite observations



Sources & period of radiance observation used in IMDAA

- Time-frame of the IMDAA reanalysis is highly challenging - because of the rapid developments in the observing system, particularly satellites.
- IMDAA used radiances from more than 40 satellite instruments of which 24 are in the post 2000 period
- Satellite instruments, like any other measurement system, are not perfect and are prone to error
- An erroneous observation can systematically damage the data assimilation scheme.
- Requirement of an advanced observation bias correction scheme

Highlights of IMDAA: Variational bias correction for satellite radiances

Biases in Satellite radiance vary with time, geography, air mass, scan position etc.

In IMDAA an advanced Variational Bias Correction method (VarBC) is used.



Mean of observed minus background (O-B) and observed minus analysis (O-A) of SAPHIR channel-1 radiances (Megha-Tropiques satellite) which is introduced in the IMDAA system during mid-2014. Initial bias is not perfect and VarBC improves the correction with a bias halving time of approximately 3-4 days.



Highlights of IMDAA: DA Method

State of the art 4D-Var assimilation system (atmosphere)

IMDAA used an incremental formulation of 4D-Var data assimilation method (Rawlins et al. 2007), with 6 hr data assimilation window

One of the major advantage of 4D-Var is it allows the flow dependent influence of the observations

Land data assimilation : Soil Moisture

IMDAA Soil moisture analysis is produced using a Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) based land data assimilation system (using JULES land surface model).

Soil moisture analysis for four soil layers of 10 cm, 25 cm, 65 cm and 2 m thickness is prepared at every assimilation cycle (6 hour) at 12 km resolution and is used to initialize the model (short) forecast in every data assimilation cycle.

IMDAA Reanalysis System Flowchart



Verification of IMDAA



Background and analysis fit to surface observations

Background and analysis fit to sonde (500 hPa) observations



• Monthly mean RMS departure in surface pressure, O-B and O-A lies between 1 to 1.5 hPa during the initial period of reanalysis, but later both are reduced with O-A below 1 hPa

• The RMS departure in surface temperature against observation lies between 2 and 3K, whereas those of analysis are between 2 to 2.6 K

RMS departures in O-B and O-A of 500 hPa temperature lie between 1.2 - 1.8 K, and 0.8 - 1.4 K

RMS departures in O-B and O-A of 500 hPa RH lie between 1.6 - 2.4 %, and 1.2 - 1.8 %

Similar trend in the background and analysis fits of the observations are seen over the Tropics in other leading global reanalyses

Time series of Analysis increments (a) Temperature, (b) Zonal wind and (c) specific humidity

Analysis increments represent the net response of data assimilation to all observations used.

Nature of analysis increment provide important information about the performance of the DA system, including the changes in the observing system - and this is a good proxy for model bias.

Increased moistening effect during summer monsoon period, and relatively less during other months.

The changes in the analysis increments are in resonance with the observations assimilated

Analysis increment in Temperature: Response to the radiance assimilated

Geopotential Height (m) & Winds at 150hPa - Rainfall (mm), Winds at 850hPa (1979-2018)

(a) JJAS - G.Height & Winds 150 hPa (b) JJAS Rainfall, Winds 850 hPa (c) OND Rainfall, Winds 850 hPa 40N 30N IMDAA 20N 10N EQ 10S 40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E 40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E 40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E 14150 14200 14250 14300 8 16 0 2 4 (d) JJAS - G.Height & Winds 150 hPa (e) JJAS Rainfall, Winds 850 hPa (f) OND Rainfall, Winds 850 hPa 40N 30N ERA5 20N 10N EQ 10S

40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E

40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E

40E 50E 60E 70E 80E 90E 100E110E

Daily Precipitation (mm) 1979-2018 JJAS Mean, Difference, Correlation

All India Summer Monsoon Rainfall of IMDAA (12 km) : Verification against IMD gridded observations (25 km)

Monthly Accumulated Rainfall (mm) - All India Land Region Area Average - JJAS 1979-2018

Monsoon onset and withdrawal

IMDAA comparison with ERA5 and IMD onset/withdrawal dates

Both IMDAA and ERA5 show an average delay of one day in the monsoon onset dates compared to IMD onset dates.

Correlations between reanalyses and observation are found to be very close with a slight better value for IMDAA (0.85) than ERA5 (0.83).

Monsoon withdrawal dates from IMDAA and ERA5 are also highly correlated (0.89)

Vertical Wind Shear Index (VVSI) of Prasad & Hayashi, 2005 is used calculate the onset & withdrawal dates from IMDAA & ERA5

(b) IMD minus IMDAA (c) IMD minus ERA5 (a) IMD Obs (1°x1°) **Daily minimum temperature** -35N (1979-2018) DJF mean -30N -25N -20N -15N -10N 70E 75E 75E 80E 80E 85E 70E 75E 85E 95E 85E 90E 95E 90E 80E 90E 70E 95E 2 4 6 8 10121416182022 -3 -2 -1 0 2 -4 3 4

Daily maximum temperature (1979-2018) MAM mean

Location Specific verification of IMDAA 2m surface temperature for the period 2000-2018

Comparison of OLR : IMDAA with Kalpana Satellite

Daily OLR (Wm⁻²) 2004-2017 JJAS Mean (a) Kalpana-1 (0.25°x0.25°) (b) IMDAA (0.12°x0.12°)

Impact of high resolution and resolved orography on extreme weather: 2018 Kerala Rainfall

Comparison of IMDAA with NGFS and ERA5 reanalyses

850 hPa wind vectors during monsoon for 1999–2018

1e+00 IMD NGFS 0.20 IMDAA ERA5 1e-02 Probability Density (in log scale) 0.05 0.01 1e-04 1e-06 1e-08 200 400 600 800 Rainfall Intensity (mm day-1)

Probability Distribution for the rainfall intensity Inset: Light rain category.

•All the three reanalyses captured the spatial patterns of observed rainfall reasonably well in all the seasons, except over the hilly regions.

•Both IMDAA and NGFS captured the probability distribution of observed daily rainfall intensity especially the extremes

•Both IMDAA and ERA5 are equally good in capturing the light rainfall

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105679

IMDAA Products & Product distribution

(https://rds.ncmrwf.gov.in)

Journal Publications

S. Indira Rani, Arulalan T., John P. George, E. N. Rajagopal, Richard Renshaw, Adam Maycock, Dale Barker and M. Rajeevan, 2021: **IMDAA: High Resolution Satellite-era Reanalysis for the Indian Monsoon Region**, *Journal of Climate*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0412.1</u>

S. Indira Rani, John P. George, T. Arulalan, Sumit Kumar, M. Das Gupta, E.N. Rajagopal and Richard Renshaw (2020), "Evaluation of High Resolution IMDAA Regional Reanalysis Precipitation over India during Summer Monsoon Season", CLIVAR Exchanges - Special Issue: India's Monsoon Mission, No.79, Pages 31-33, November 2020 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.36071/clivar.79.2020.</u>

Jisha K. Vishal and S. Indira Rani, 2022: Location-specific verification of near-surface air temperature from IMDAA regional reanalysis, JESS (Accepted for publication)

Raghavendra Ashrit, S. Indira Rani, Sushant Kumar, S. Karunasagar, T. Arulalan, Timmy Francis, Ashish Routray, S. I. Laskar, Sana Mahmood, Peter Jermey, Adam Maycock, Richard Renshaw, John P. George, and E. N. Rajagopal (2020), "**IMDAA Regional Reanalysis: Performance Evaluation During Indian Summer Monsoon Season**", JGR Atmospheres, Vol.125, Issue2, AGU Publications.<u>doi:10.1029/2019JD030973.</u>

Sana Mahmood, Jemma Davie, Peter Jermey, Richard Renshaw, John P. George, E. N. Rajagopal, S. Indira Rani, "Indian monsoon data assimilation and analysis regional reanalysis: Configuration and performance", Atmos. Sci. Lett. 2018;19:e808. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.808</u>

•

Tarkeshwar Singh, Upal Saha, V. S. Prasad and Munmun Das Gupta, 2021: Assessment of newly-developed high resolution reanalyses (IMDAA, NGFS and ERA5) against rainfall observations for Indian region. Atmospheric Research, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105679</u>

	C https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/34/12/JCLI-D-20-0412.1.xml								£≡	Ē		•••
J		1S	ciety	Journals	JOURNALS BROWSE PUBLISH SUBSCRIBE ABOUT					Sign in O	Sign up	•
S. H S. R Is Ji: JE	<u>15 J</u>	ter 201	Journal of Climate	Journal of Climate	Editorial Type: Article Article Type: Research Article IMDAA: High-Resolution Satellite-Era Reanalysis for the Indian Monsoor S. Indira Rani ¹ , T. Arulalan ¹ , John P. George ¹ , E. N. Rajagopal ¹ , Richard Renshaw ² , Adam Maycock ² , Dale M. Barker ² , and M. Rajeevan ³ ¹ aNational Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India Office, Exeter, United Kingdom ³ cMinistry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi, India	ו Regi iew Less ia ^{2 b} Met	on –	÷.	Open acce	55 <u>View li</u>	cense	A: , jh al , s,

HYDROLOGICAL SCIENCES JOURNAL 2022, VOL. 67, NO. 6, 870–885

https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2022.2049272

Atmospheric Research 259 (2021) 105679

Assessment of newly-developed high resolution reanalyses (IMD₁ and ERA5) against rainfall observations for Indian region

Tarkeshwar Singh^{a,b}, Upal Saha^{a,*}, V.S. Prasad^a, M. Das Gupta^a

^a National Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Noida, India ^b Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Bergen, Norway

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T	initiated by th decision suppo
Keywords:	In this study, a comprehensive assessment has been provided for the pe	quantiles with
IMDAA	resolution reanalysis (IMDAA, NGFS, ERA5) datasets w.r.t. IMD rai	cities. Howeve
NGFS	monsoon regions of India during 1999–2018. It is necessary to underst	methods seem
ERA5	are performing spatio-temporally, specifically during monsoon in ord	strates QM me
Reanalysis	developmental purposes. Focus has been given to assess their relative	implications fo
IMD Bainfall	extremes against IMD observations. Results reveal that all these three reanalysis da	tasets captured the spatial

Quantile mapping bias correction methods to IMDAA reanalysis for calibrating NCMRWF unified model operational forecasts

Kondapalli Niranjan Kumar ()^a, Mohana Satyanarayana Thota^a, Raghavendra Ashrit ()^a, Ashis K. Mitra^a and Madhavan Nair Rajeevan^b

^aNational Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi, India; ^bMinistry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on assessing different quantile mapping (QM) bias correction approaches based on empirical and parametric methods to bias-correct the Indian Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA) precipitation data and subsequently calibrate the National Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting (NCMRWF) Unified Model operational forecasts. The two coastal cities Chennai and Mumbai, India, are chosen here to support the Integrated Flood Warning System (IFLOWS), initiated by the Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India, which provides early warning and decision support during flooding. The empirical QM methods are relatively better in correcting the quantiles with calibrated precipitation close to the observed cumulative distribution at these coastal cities. However, in extreme rainfall cases, the skill of calibrated precipitation through parametric methods seems promising and suitable for flood forecasting applications. Hence, this study demonstrates QM methods' performance and their potential in downscaling precipitation that has significant implications for urban flood models.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 26 July 2021 Accepted 31 January 2022

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

EDITOR A. Castellarin

ASSOCIATE EDITOR M. Batalini de Macedo

KEYWORDS

quantile mapping; bias correction; rainfall; floods; urban cities

Land surface and Hydrological application products

\leftarrow	С	ĉ	https:// rds.ncm	irwf.gov.in					\forall_{jj}	Q 6	5∕≡	Ē.	•					
Hon	ne I	Datasets	My Requests	Logout	NATIONAL	CENTRE FOR MED MINISTRY OF EARTH S REANALYSIS	IUM RANGE WH sciences, governmen DATA SERV	EATHER FORECASTING It of india VICES 194	Live	About	Contact	Privacy	v&Terms					
	Water	Runoff					kg m-2	Sub Surface	Average (hou	urly)#								
	Water	Runoff Ra	ite				kg m-2s-1	Sub Surface	Average (hourly)#									
	Upwar	d Water R	late				kg m-2 s-1	Surface	Average (hou	urly)#								
	Surfac	e Tempera	ature (skin)				К	Surface	Instantaneous (hourly)*									
	Surfac	e Pressure	9				Pa	Surface	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	Mean S	Sea Level	Pressure				Pa	Mean Sea Level	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	Surfac	e Roughne	ess				m	Surface	Instantaneous (hourly)*									
	0-0.1 r	m below g	round Column-Inte	grated Soil Moistur	e		kg m-2	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	0.1-0.3	0.1-0.35 m below ground Column-Integrated Soil Moisture						Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	0.35-1	m below	ground Column-Int	egrated Soil Moistu	ire		kg m-2	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	1-3 m	below gro	ound Column-Integr	ated Soil Moisture			kg m-2	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	Soil Temperature (0-0.1 m below ground)					К	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)										
	Soil Temperature (0.1-0.35 m below ground)					К	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)										
	Soil Te	oil Temperature (0.35-1 m below ground)					К	Soil	Instantaneous (hourly)									
	Soil Te	mperatur	e (1-3 m below gro	und)			К	Soil	Instantaneou	us (hourly)								

Land surface and Ludralogical annlication products

J. Earth Syst. Sci. (2022)131 63 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-021-01800-1 © Indian Academy of Sciences

J. Earth Syst. Sci. (2022)131 127 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-022-01873-6

```
© Indian Academy of Sciences
```


Analysis of soil moisture estimates from global and regional datasets over the Indian region

GAYATHRI VANGALA and ANANTHARAMAN CHANDRASEKAR*

Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram, India. *Corresponding author. e-mail: chandra@iist.ac.in

MS received 3 March 2021; revised 8 July 2021; accepted 17 October 2021

The main objective of this study is to analyse the nearfrom two gridded soil moisture datasets and to compdatasets with the soil moisture data obtained from the moisture datasets considered in this study are (i) globa and (ii) Indian monsoon data assimilation and analysis from modelled output that assimilates soil moisture, wh dataset are derived from both satellite and modelled obthe differences of ASCAT soil moisture with GLEAN differences of ASCAT soil moisture with IMDAA soil 2008–2012. Also, quantitative measures such as improv spatial and temporal correlation are obtained using th quantify the relative closeness of the datasets with I measures clearly indicate that over the Indian region, closer to the ASCAT soil moisture data when compare over all seasons for the period 2008–2012. Also, GLEAM error value as compared to IMDAA soil moisture datas Also, the results of the IP and FP indicate that the lar

Soil Temperature (0-0.1 m below ground)

Soil Temperature (0.1-0.35 m below ground)

Soil Temperature (0.35-1 m below ground)

Soil Temperature (1-3 m below ground)

M RAN ENCES, GC ATA g m-2 g m-2s-1 g m-2 s-1

Validation and bias correction of incoming solar radiation from two reanalysis products over India

ANUSHRIYA JAIN¹, R ESWAR^{1,2,*}⁽⁶⁾ and B K BHATTACHARYA³

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India.
 ²Interdisciplinary Program in Climate studies, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India.
 ³Space Applications Centre, Indian Space Research Organisation, Ahmedabad, India.
 *Corresponding author. e-mail: eswar.r@civil.iitb.ac.in

MS received 25 May 2021; revised 21 December 2021; accepted 18 January 2022

s-term meteorological information. Among the many he important variables in driving various atmospheric and correct for biases in the $R_{\rm sd}$ data from the Modernolications, version 2 (MERRA-2) and the Indian Monanalysis products at 8-day and monthly time periods. It and IMDAA exhibited similar characteristics. A staecting $R_{\rm sd}$ and the bias was characterized as a function on. The bias in 8-day $R_{\rm sd}$ improved from 22.03 to 12.95 2 for IMDAA. Similarly, at the monthly time scale, the MERRA-2 and from 31.60 to 8.67 Wm $^{-2}$ for IMDAA. ited improved correlation and seasonal variation closer tiveness of the bias correction procedure.

DAA; MERRA-2.

Instantaneous (hourly)

Instantaneous (hourly)

Instantaneous (hourly)

Instantaneous (hourly)

Instantaneous (hourly)

Instantaneous (hourly)

Journal of Agrometeorology

ISSN : 0972-1665 (print), 2583-2980 (online) Vol. No. 25 (1) : 10 - 17 (March- 2023) DOI : https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i1.2067 https://journal.agrimetassociation.org/index.php/jam

Invited Articles (Silver Jublee Publication)

Revisiting statistical spectral-agrometeorological wheat yield models for Punjab using MODIS EVI and NCMRWF re-analysis temperature data

V.K. DADHWAL* and Y. BHAT

National Institute of Advanced Studies (NIAS), Bengaluru – 560012 *Corresponding author's email:vkdadhwal@nias.res.in

ABSTRACT

Use of space-based spectral information with weather inputs for wheat yield modeling by empirical and crop simulation models is reviewed and extended with enhanced spectral modeling approach for districts in central Punjab. The study uses multi-date and multi-year MODIS data at 250m resolution to both identify wheat crop and develop temporal spectral Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) profile for 2001-2019 period. Recently developed high resolution (12km) gridded temperature data from NCMRWF, namely India Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA) has been used for computing district-level average of daily (AV) and night-time (NT) temperatures. Multiple linear regression analysis with statistical tests on significance of coefficients for various inputs is used to investigate significance of various input parameters in yield models on multi-district data set. Results identify both area under spectral profile (AS-EVI) and mean peak value (PK-EVI) have significant control on yield. Individual district-level trend based yield (YT) is a significant coefficient in the multi-district models. Model performance is significantly improved by the inclusion of phase-specific temperatures and at specific post-flowering phases the night temperature figured in best models. Significance of the results in development of spatially resolved yields for applications like yield forecast, crop insurance and climate change studies is discussed.

Keywords: Spectral-agromet models; Wheat yield; MODIS EVI; Nighttime temperature.

For IMDAA Data Access:

- 1. Register in the <u>https://rds.ncmrwf.gov.in/</u>
- 2. Verify your mail (By clicking the verification link sent by email)
- 3. Submit your request
- 4. Also, please subscribe to <u>rds-ncmrwf-request@freelists.org</u>
- 5. If any problems, kindly contact <u>indirarani.s@gov.in</u>

