

21 Aug 2024

Plan

1. Summary of the role of $H[X]$, $H[Y|X]$ and $I[X:Y]$ in the growth of typical sequences.
2. Properties of entropic quantities, relative entropy
3. The Loomis–Whitney inequality
4. Application of these classical inequalities to derive inequalities about Von Neumann entropy

Reference: For applications of entropy in combinatorics, please see the following:

David Galvin: Three tutorial lectures on entropy and counting, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7872>

So far ...

We have understood $H[X]$, $H[Y|X]$ and $I[X:Y]$ in terms of the growth of typical sets. In particular, for visualizing typical sets arising out of k samples drawn from the joint distribution $\{p(a,b)\}$ on the set of elements of $A \times B$, we have a bipartite graph.

***** THE BIPARTITE GRAPH *****

On the left are the typical sequences drawn according to the marginal distribution of the random variable X . There are about $2^{\{k H[X]\}}$ such typical sequences.

On the right are the typical sequences drawn according to the marginal distribution of Y . There are about $2^{\{k H[Y]\}}$ such typical sequences.

We connect a sequence \bar{x} to a sequence \bar{y} by an edge if (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) are jointly typical.

Each typical \bar{x} has about $2^{\{k H[Y|X]\}}$ edges incident on it. Each typical \bar{y} has about $2^{\{k H[X|Y]\}}$ edges incident on it.

So the density of the graph (edges present/edge the maximum is

$$2^{\{k H[X]\}} * 2^{\{k H[Y|X]\}} / 2^{\{k H[X]\}} * 2^{\{k H[Y]\}}$$

=

$$2^{\{-k I[X:Y]\}}$$

The number of codewords we can pack is the inverse of the
 For channel coding, we are given $\{p(b|a)\}$. We then adjust
 the distribution of X , so that the edge density is small as
 possible. For this reason, the capacity is given by an expression
 of the form

$$\max_X I[X:Y]$$

Properties of entropic quantities

$$H[X] = E[\log 1/p(X)]$$

By applying Gibbs inequality taking P to be the distribution
 of X and Q to be the uniform distribution on the support of
 X . We see

$H[X] \leq \log n$, where n is the number of elements in the
 support of X .

$$\begin{aligned} H[Y|X] &= \sum_a p(a) \sum_b p(b|a) \log 1/p(b|a) \\ &= \sum_a p(a,b) \log 1/p(b|a) \\ &= E[\log 1/p(b|a)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H[XY] &= E[\log 1/p(X,Y)] \\ &= E[\log 1/p(X)] + E[\log 1/p(Y|X)] \\ &= H[X] + H[Y|X] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I[X:Y] &= E[\log p(X,Y)/p(X)q(Y)] \\ &= D(\{p(a,b)\} || \{p(a) q(b)\}) \\ &\geq 0 \text{ (by Gibbs inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_a p(a) D(P_{\{Y|X=a\}} || Q)$$

measure how far the distribution is from the product distribution

In particular, from $I[X:Y] \geq 0$, we conclude that

$$H[Y|X] \leq H[Y]$$

(conditioning reduces entropy)

Another way of saying this is that the entropy function is concave.

Three application

Application 1: The Loomis-Whitney inequality

Consider N points in R^3 .

Suppose we project these points onto the two coordinate planes (along directions, x, y, z), and get N_x, N_y, N_z points.

Loomis-Whitney: $N_x N_y N_z \geq N^2$

We formalize the following intuition. We pick on of the N points uniformly at random. Say the point is (X,Y,Z) .

$H[(X,Y,Z)] = \log N$
 $H[(X,Y)] \leq \log N_z$
 $H[(Y,Z)] \leq \log N_x$
 $H[(X,Z)] \leq \log N_y$

But every piece of information in (X,Y,Z) is available from two sources. So, $\log N_x + \log N_y + \log N_z \geq 2 \log N$.

Application 2: The Shannon entropy of the diagonal of a density matrix is at least the Von Neumann entropy of the original density matrix.

$S(\text{rho}_D) \geq S(\text{rho})$.

Proof.

$\text{diag}(\text{rho}_D) = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)^T$
 $\text{diag}(\text{rho}) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)^T$

Then, $\text{diag}(\text{rho}_D) = M \text{diag}(\text{rho})$, where M is a doubly stochastic matrix.

But a doubly stochastic matrix is a convex combination of permutation matrices.

$M = \sum_{\sigma} q(\sigma) M_{\sigma}$

(The 1 in column i of M_{σ} is in row $\sigma(i)$.)

Let X be the random variable with distribution $\text{diag}(\text{rho})$.

Pick a random permutation σ with probability $q(\sigma)$, and consider the random variable $Z = \sigma(X)$.

$S(\text{diag}(\text{rho}_D)) = H[Z] \geq H[Z | \sigma] = H[X] = S(\text{rho})$.

Application 3: $S(\text{rho})$ is concave. That is,

$\text{rho} = \alpha \text{rho}_1 + (1-\alpha)\text{rho}_2$
 $S(\text{rho}) \geq \alpha S(\text{rho}_1) + (1-\alpha) S(\text{rho}_2)$.

Work in the eigen basis of rho . Combine application 2 with classical concavity.

What does relative entropy measure?

We saw that if the $I[X:Y]$ (which is a relative entropy) is small, then the density of edges in our bipartite graph is small.

Theorem: Let X be a random variable taking values in a set A with distribution Q . Consider $\bar{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$ drawn independently according to Q . Thus, \bar{X} takes values in A^k . Let F be a subset of A^k , and let P be the average of the average empirical distributions of the strings in F . That is,

$$P(a) = \sum_{\bar{x}} [Q^k(\bar{x})/Q^k(F)] (1/k)N(a|\bar{x})$$

Then,

$$Q^k(F) \leq 2^{\{-k D(P||Q)\}}$$

[Intuition, if P differs from Q too much, the F will have to be tiny.]

Prof.

Let P_F be the distribution on A^k given by $Q^k(\bar{x})/Q^k(F)$. Then,

$$Q^k(F) = 2^{\{-D(P_F||Q^k)\}}$$

Exercise: $D(P_F||Q^k) \geq k D(P||Q)$.

$$[D(P_F || Q^k) = -H[P'] - \sum_{\bar{a}} P_F(\bar{a}) \log 1/Q^k(\bar{a})$$

First term: Let \bar{X} be distributed according to P' . Then
 $H[P'] = H[\bar{X}] = k H[X_J | J] \leq k H[X_J] = k H(P)$.
So, $-H[P'] \geq -k H(P)$

Second term:

$$- \sum_{\bar{a}} P_F(\bar{a}) \log 1/Q^k(\bar{a}) \\ = -k \sum_a P(a) \log 1/Q(a)$$

So, $D(P_F || Q^k) \geq k D(P||Q)$.

]

In particular, if the actual distribution is Q , then the probability that the samples drawn from it will look P -typical with probability at most $2^{\{-k D(P || Q)\}}$.

This is one of the important reasons why $D(P || Q)$ appears in the study of various statistical problems.

[Postscript: In these three lectures, we covered only half of what we had originally intended. The quantum part, perhaps the main reason the

audience showed up, got left out. I am very sorry about that. However, what I planned to present is a subset of what is there in Witten's notes.

Edward Witten, A Mini-Introduction To Information Theory,
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.11965>

I hope the classical information theory we discussed helps in some way while reading these notes. -- Jaikumar]