

Large sieve inequalities for families of automorphic forms

Matthew P. Young
Texas A&M University

June 2022

Automorphic form notation

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of automorphic forms. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, write the associated L -function as

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s}.$$

Problems on families

Given a family \mathcal{F} , we would want to know:

- ▶ What is the size of \mathcal{F} (Weyl's law).
- ▶ Low-lying zero statistics.
- ▶ Asymptotics or bounds on the moments of the L -functions $L(f, 1/2)$.
- ▶ Large sieve inequality.

Large sieve inequalities

A large sieve inequality for the family \mathcal{F} takes the form

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} a_n \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 \leq \Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

valid for *all* choices of coefficients $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Ideally we want to prove this with Δ as small as possible.

Large sieve inequalities

A large sieve inequality for the family \mathcal{F} takes the form

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} a_n \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 \leq \Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

valid for *all* choices of coefficients $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Ideally we want to prove this with Δ as small as possible.

A good bound gives quantitatively strong orthogonality of the coefficients in the family.

The duality principle says that

$$\max_{|\mathbf{c}|=1} \sum_m \left| \sum_n c_n a_{m,n} \right|^2$$

equals

$$\max_{|\mathbf{b}|=1} \sum_n \left| \sum_m b_m a_{m,n} \right|^2.$$

In our context, this means

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} a_n \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 \leq \Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

valid for all $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} b_f \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 \leq \Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \sum_f |b_f|^2,$$

valid for all $b_f \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N) \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Theorem

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N) \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Applications include: Bombieri-Vinogradov, bounds on moments of twists of L -functions, etc.

Theorem

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N) \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Applications include: Bombieri-Vinogradov, bounds on moments of twists of L -functions, etc.

The large sieve inequality implies that primes are well-distributed in arithmetic progressions (similar in strength to GRH) “on average”.

Theorem

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N) \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Applications include: Bombieri-Vinogradov, bounds on moments of twists of L -functions, etc.

The large sieve inequality implies that primes are well-distributed in arithmetic progressions (similar in strength to GRH) “on average”.

Some more advanced methods for studying moments of L -functions transform the original moment problem into one involving a completely different family, and finish by using a large sieve inequality to bound the ‘dual’ moment.

Theorem (Heath-Brown)

$$\sum_{q \leq Q}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N}^* a_n \left(\frac{n}{q} \right) \right|^2 \ll (Q + N)(QN)^\varepsilon \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Theorem (Heath-Brown)

$$\sum_{q \leq Q}^* \left| \sum_{n \leq N}^* a_n \left(\frac{n}{q} \right) \right|^2 \ll (Q + N)(QN)^\varepsilon \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

Applications include: Improved bounds on nonvanishing of quadratic twists of L -functions, ...

Theorem (Deshouillers-Iwaniec)

$$\sum_{\substack{f \text{ level } q \\ t_f \leq T}} w_f^{-1} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 \ll (qT^2 + N)(qTN)^\varepsilon \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

where: f is a Maass form with spectral parameter t_f , and

$$w_f = \text{Res}_{s=1} L(f \otimes \bar{f}, s).$$

The previous GL_1 and GL_2 large sieve inequalities took the form

$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \ll (|\mathcal{F}| + N)(|\mathcal{F}|N)^\varepsilon.$$

This cannot be improved.

A cautionary tale

One might be tempted to conjecture that $\Delta(\mathcal{F}, N) \approx |\mathcal{F}| + N$ holds for any reasonable family. However, H. Iwaniec and Xiaoqing Li (Compositio, 2007) showed that the family of Hecke cusp forms on $\Gamma_1(q)$ (with q prime, and with fixed weight) does NOT satisfy this optimistic conjecture.

More reasons for caution

Making life exciting, there are a variety of different reasons that a family may not satisfy the optimistic bound:

- ▶ A family \mathcal{F} may have cusp forms and Eisenstein series together, and the Eisenstein series may be problematic. Blomer and Buttcane showed this for the family $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 3$.

More reasons for caution

Making life exciting, there are a variety of different reasons that a family may not satisfy the optimistic bound:

- ▶ A family \mathcal{F} may have cusp forms and Eisenstein series together, and the Eisenstein series may be problematic. Blomer and Buttcane showed this for the family $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 3$.
- ▶ A family may have a large *biased set*, that is, a set $\mathcal{N} \subset [N, 2N]$ so that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_f(n) \right| \approx |\mathcal{F}| \cdot |\mathcal{N}|.$$

Example: If \mathcal{F} is the set of primitive quadratic characters, and \mathcal{N} is the set of squares, then $|\mathcal{N}| \approx \sqrt{N}$ is a large biased set.

More reasons for caution

There is recent work of Dunn-Radziwiłł exhibiting subtle bias for families of cubic characters.

An Eisenstein series family

The newform Eisenstein series of level Q and trivial central character occur when $Q = q^2$, and are induced by a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{q}$.

The n -th Fourier coefficient (or Hecke eigenvalue) of such an Eisenstein series is of the form

$$\lambda_{\chi,it}(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi(a)\overline{\chi}(b)(a/b)^{it}. \quad (1)$$

Define

$$\Delta(Q, N) = \max_{|\alpha|=1} \sum_{Q/2 < q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{N/2 < n < N} \alpha_n \lambda_{\chi,0}(n) \right|^2.$$

The classical large sieve inequality implies

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll Q^2 \sqrt{N} + N \log N.$$

Define

$$\Delta(Q, N) = \max_{|\alpha|=1} \sum_{Q/2 < q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{N/2 < n < N} \alpha_n \lambda_{\chi,0}(n) \right|^2.$$

The classical large sieve inequality implies

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll Q^2 \sqrt{N} + N \log N.$$

To see this, use duality and apply Dirichlet's hyperbola method.

Biased set

This is best possible: $\lambda_{\chi,0}(p^2) = 1 + \chi^2(p) + \overline{\chi}^2(p)$, which shows the squares of primes form a biased set.

This is best possible: $\lambda_{\chi,0}(p^2) = 1 + \chi^2(p) + \overline{\chi}^2(p)$, which shows the squares of primes form a biased set.

Recall that this means

$$\left| \sum_{p^2 \sim P} \lambda_{\chi,0}(p^2) \right| \approx \frac{\sqrt{P}}{\log P},$$

on average over χ .

Fixed definition

Trying again, define:

$$\Delta(Q, N) = \max_{|\alpha|=1} \sum_{Q/2 < q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{N/2 < ab < N \\ (a,b)=1}} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2.$$

Theorem (Y., 2022)

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll (Q^2 + N)^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Reinterpretation: Rational large sieve

- ▶ Let $\mathbb{Q}_q = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : v_p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } p|q\}$. (A ring)
- ▶ Let $\text{red}_q : \mathbb{Q}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. (A ring hom.)
- ▶ For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_q$, define $\chi(x) = \chi(\text{red}_q(x))$.
- ▶ For $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}_q$, define $\text{ht}(a/b) = |ab|$.

Reinterpretation: Rational large sieve

- ▶ Let $\mathbb{Q}_q = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : v_p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } p|q\}$. (A ring)
- ▶ Let $\text{red}_q : \mathbb{Q}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. (A ring hom.)
- ▶ For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_q$, define $\chi(x) = \chi(\text{red}_q(x))$.
- ▶ For $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}_q$, define $\text{ht}(a/b) = |ab|$.

Then

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Q}_q \\ \text{ht}(n) \leq N}} \alpha_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \ll (Q^2 + N)^{1+\varepsilon} |\alpha|^2.$$

Sieving application

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} : \text{ht}(n) \leq N\}$.
- ▶ Let \mathcal{P} be a finite set of primes.
- ▶ For each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\Omega_p \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ Let $\omega(p) = |\Omega_p|$, and $h(p) = \frac{\omega(p)}{p - \omega(p)}$, extended multiplicatively to squarefrees.
- ▶ Let

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}, \Omega) = \{n \in \mathcal{N} : \text{for all } p, \text{red}_p(n) \notin \Omega_p\}$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}, \Omega)| \ll \frac{(N + Q^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}{H}, \quad H = \sum_{q \leq Q} h(q).$$

Example

Take $|\Omega_p| = \frac{p-1}{2}$ for all $p \leq Q$, with $Q = \sqrt{N}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{S}| \ll N^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Overview of proof

The proof relies on three results, each with a very different proof.

The simplest of these three is monotonicity:

Proposition

If $Q' \gg Q \log QN$ then

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll \Delta(Q', N).$$

If $N' \gg Q \log QN$ then

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll \Delta(Q, N').$$

Idea for N -monotonicity:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q,\chi} \left| \sum_{a,b} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{P^*} \sum_{p \sim P} \sum_{q,\chi} \left| \sum_{a,b} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &\approx \frac{1}{P^*} \sum_{p \sim P} \sum_{q,\chi} \left| \sum_{a,b} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(ap) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now ap can be glued into a new variable, making the inner sum have length PN .

This kind of idea was apparently first used in the context of the large sieve in a paper of Forti and Viola (1973).

Functional equation

Trying the functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}(a) \overline{\chi_1} \chi_2(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(s) N^s \frac{L(s, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}) L(s, \chi_2 \overline{\chi_1})}{\zeta(2s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Functional equation

Trying the functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2(a) \bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(s) N^s \frac{L(s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) L(s, \chi_2 \bar{\chi}_1)}{\zeta(2s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $(a, b) = 1$ is necessary to avoid the biased set, but it leads to the highly problematic $\zeta(2s)$ in the denominator.

Functional equation

A compromise:

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\frac{ab}{(a,b)^2} > Y} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 =: S_{>Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $Y < N/100$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

Functional equation

A compromise:

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{(a,b)=1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\frac{ab}{(a,b)^2} > Y} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 =: S_{>Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $Y < N/100$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

This type of upper bound sieve was used by Heath-Brown in his proof of the quadratic large sieve.

Inclusion-exclusion: $S_{>Y} = S_{\infty} - S_{\leq Y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\leq Y} &= \sum_{\frac{ab}{(a,b)^2} \leq Y} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_{\chi} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &\approx \int_{(2)} \tilde{w}(s) \zeta(2s) \sum_{\substack{ab \leq Y \\ (a,b)=1}} \frac{N^s}{(ab)^s} \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_{\chi} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

Inclusion-exclusion: $S_{>Y} = S_{\infty} - S_{\leq Y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\leq Y} &= \sum_{\substack{ab \\ (a,b)^2 \leq Y}} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_{\chi} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &\approx \int_{(2)} \tilde{w}(s) \zeta(2s) \sum_{\substack{ab \leq Y \\ (a,b)=1}} \frac{N^s}{(ab)^s} \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_{\chi} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now shift contours to the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$.

Good news/bad news

Bad news: We get a pole at $s = 1/2$ of shape

$$S_{\leq Y}^{\text{pole}} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{w}(1/2) \sum_{\substack{ab \leq Y \\ (a,b)=1}} \frac{N^{1/2}}{(ab)^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{q, \chi} \beta_{\chi} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2,$$

which is not small on its own.

Good news: The contribution on the line 0 is at most $\Delta(Q, Y) |\beta|^2$, and Y is small compared to N .

Functional equation

$$S_\infty = \sum_{a,b \geq 1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(s) N^s L(s, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}) L(s, \chi_2 \overline{\chi_1}) ds.$$

Functional equation

$$S_\infty = \sum_{a,b \geq 1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(s) N^s L(s, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}) L(s, \chi_2 \overline{\chi_1}) ds.$$

Problem: χ_1 and χ_2 are primitive, but $\chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$ may not be, which affects the functional equation.

Functional equation

$$S_\infty = \sum_{a,b \geq 1} w\left(\frac{ab}{N}\right) \left| \sum_{q,\chi} \beta_\chi \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(s) N^s L(s, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}) L(s, \chi_2 \overline{\chi_1}) ds.$$

Problem: χ_1 and χ_2 are primitive, but $\chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$ may not be, which affects the functional equation.

To make life easier, let's pretend either $\chi_1 = \chi_2$, or that $(q_1, q_2) = 1$. The diagonal $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ is easy to understand, and gives $O(N|\beta|^2)$.

Functional equation

Changing s to $1 - s$, and then the functional equation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) N^{1-s} L(1-s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) L(1-s, \chi_2 \bar{\chi}_1) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \\ & \approx \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} L(s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) L(s, \chi_2 \bar{\chi}_1) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \\ & \approx \int_{(2)} \sum_{a, b \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} \frac{\chi_1(a\bar{b}) \chi_2(b\bar{a})}{(ab)^s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

Functional equation

Changing s to $1 - s$, and then the functional equation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) N^{1-s} L(1-s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) L(1-s, \chi_2 \bar{\chi}_1) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \\ & \approx \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} L(s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) L(s, \chi_2 \bar{\chi}_1) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \\ & \approx \int_{(2)} \sum_{a, b \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} \frac{\chi_1(a\bar{b}) \chi_2(b\bar{a})}{(ab)^s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

The new sum can be truncated at $ab \ll \frac{Q^4}{N}$.

Functional equation (cont.)

We need $(a, b) = 1$ again, so pull out the gcd here. This forms yet another $\zeta(2s)$, giving rise to

$$\int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{(a,b)=1 \\ ab \ll Q^4/N}} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \zeta(2s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} \frac{\chi_1(a\bar{b}) \chi_2(b\bar{a})}{(ab)^s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

Functional equation (cont.)

We need $(a, b) = 1$ again, so pull out the gcd here. This forms yet another $\zeta(2s)$, giving rise to

$$\int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{(a,b)=1 \\ ab \ll Q^4/N}} \sum_{\substack{q_1, \chi_1 \\ q_2, \chi_2}} \beta_{\chi_1} \beta_{\chi_2} \tilde{w}(1-s) \zeta(2s) \frac{N^{1-s}}{(q_1^2 q_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}} \frac{\chi_1(a\bar{b}) \chi_2(b\bar{a})}{(ab)^s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

We move the contour back to $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$, crossing a pole at $s = 1/2$. If we take $Y = Q^4/N$, then this polar term cancels the polar term $S_{\leq Y}^{\text{pole}}$. The new integral part is bounded by

$$\frac{N}{Q^2} \Delta\left(Q, \frac{Q^4}{N}\right).$$

Theorem (Functional equation)

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll N + \frac{N}{Q^2} \Delta\left(Q, \frac{Q^4}{N}\right).$$

This is good if $N \gg Q^2$.

The third main tool works on the dual side, gives:

Theorem (Family sum)

$$\Delta(Q, N) \ll Q^2 + \frac{Q^2}{N} \Delta(N/Q, N).$$

This is good if $Q^2 \gg N$.

The dual side

Trying orthogonality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \text{ primitive}}} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(a_1,b_1)=1 \\ (a_2,b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1,b_1} \alpha_{a_2,b_2} \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}} \varphi(d) \mu(q/d) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int Q^s \frac{\tilde{w}(s)}{\zeta(s)} \sum_{\substack{(a_1,b_1)=1 \\ (a_2,b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1,b_1} \alpha_{a_2,b_2} \sum_{d|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

A compromise

$$S := \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \text{ primitive}}} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2$$
$$\leq \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q}^* \\ \text{cond}(\chi) > Y}} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 := S_{>Y}.$$

A compromise

$$S := \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \text{ primitive}}} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2$$
$$\leq \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q}^* \\ \text{cond}(\chi) > Y}} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 := S_{>Y}.$$

Next write $S_{>Y} = S_\infty - S_{\leq Y}$.

Truncated part

For $S_{\leq Y}$, write $\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi_0$, $q \rightarrow qq_0$ where χ_0 is trivial modulo q_0 , χ is primitive modulo q , and $q \leq Y$. The sum over q_0 forms a zeta, giving

$$S_{\leq Y} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \tilde{w}(s) \sum_{q \leq Y} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left(\frac{Q}{q}\right)^s \zeta(s) \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2.$$

Truncated part

For $S_{\leq Y}$, write $\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi_0$, $q \rightarrow qq_0$ where χ_0 is trivial modulo q_0 , χ is primitive modulo q , and $q \leq Y$. The sum over q_0 forms a zeta, giving

$$S_{\leq Y} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \tilde{w}(s) \sum_{q \leq Y} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left(\frac{Q}{q}\right)^s \zeta(s) \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2.$$

Again, we want to shift contours to the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$, but there is a pole at $s = 1$, giving

$$S_{\leq Y}^{\text{pole}} = \tilde{w}(1) \sum_{q \leq Y} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \frac{Q}{q} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2.$$

The part on the new line of integration is bounded by

$$\Delta(Y, N).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_\infty &= \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\chi \pmod q} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\
 &\approx Q \sum_{\substack{(a_1,b_1)=1 \\ (a_2,b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1,b_1} \alpha_{a_2,b_2} \sum_{q|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1} w_1(q/Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_\infty &= \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\chi \pmod q} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \overline{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\
 &\approx Q \sum_{\substack{(a_1,b_1)=1 \\ (a_2,b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1,b_1} \alpha_{a_2,b_2} \sum_{q|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1} w_1(q/Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Important point: The diagonal $a_1 b_2 = a_2 b_1$ forces $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1 = b_2$, using $(a_1, b_1) = (a_2, b_2) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_\infty &= \sum_q w(q/Q) \sum_{\chi \pmod q} \left| \sum_{(a,b)=1} \alpha_{a,b} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) \right|^2 \\
 &\approx Q \sum_{\substack{(a_1,b_1)=1 \\ (a_2,b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1,b_1} \alpha_{a_2,b_2} \sum_{q|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1} w_1(q/Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Important point: The diagonal $a_1 b_2 = a_2 b_1$ forces $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1 = b_2$, using $(a_1, b_1) = (a_2, b_2) = 1$.

Now the idea is to use a divisor-switching trick and convert back to Dirichlet characters. This idea was inspired by Conrey-Iwaniec-Soundararajan's paper on the asymptotic large sieve.

Divisor switch

Write

$$a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = qr,$$

where now $0 < |r| \ll \frac{N}{Q}$.

Divisor switch

Write

$$a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = qr,$$

where now $0 < |r| \ll \frac{N}{Q}$.

This move is directly analogous to the functional equation of the L -functions on the dual side.

Divisor switch

Write

$$a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = qr,$$

where now $0 < |r| \ll \frac{N}{Q}$.

This move is directly analogous to the functional equation of the L -functions on the dual side.

S_∞ is roughly

$$\sum_{r \leq N/Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, b_1)=1 \\ (a_2, b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1, b_1} \alpha_{a_2, b_2} \chi(a_1 b_2 \overline{a_2 b_1}) w_1 \left(\frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}{Qr} \right).$$

Final steps

To complete the circuit, we need to get back to primitive characters. Write $\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi_0$, $r \rightarrow rr_0$. The r_0 -sum creates another zeta:

$$\int_{(2)} \widetilde{w}_1(-s) \zeta(1+s) \sum_{r \leq N/Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}}^* r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, b_1)=1 \\ (a_2, b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1, b_1} \alpha_{a_2, b_2} \chi(a_1 b_2 \overline{a_2 b_1}) \left(\frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}{Qr} \right)^s ds.$$

Final steps

To complete the circuit, we need to get back to primitive characters. Write $\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi_0$, $r \rightarrow rr_0$. The r_0 -sum creates another zeta:

$$\int_{(2)} \widetilde{w}_1(-s) \zeta(1+s) \sum_{r \leq N/Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}}^* r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, b_1)=1 \\ (a_2, b_2)=1}} \alpha_{a_1, b_1} \alpha_{a_2, b_2} \chi(a_1 b_2 \overline{a_2 b_1}) \left(\frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}{Qr} \right)^s ds.$$

Shifting contours to $\text{Re}(s) = -1$ passes a pole at $s = 0$. If $Y = N/Q$, it cancels with $S_{\leq Y}^{\text{pole}}$. The new line gives $\frac{Q}{N} \Delta(N/Q, N)$.

Thank you for listening!