

American Physics Society March Meeting - 2022



Phonon transport in ultra-high thermal conductivity materials beyond the relaxation time approximation*

Nikhil Malviya

Direct Ph.D (Oct. 2020 -Ongoing) Department of Mechanical Engineering Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

Dr. Navaneetha Krishnan Ravichandran

Assistant Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering Indian institute of Science, Bangalore

* This work is supported by the DST-SERB Core Research Grant (CRG/2020/006166) and Prime Minister Research Fellowship (192002-2069)



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg **Phonons** : normal modes of lattice vibrations



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg **Phonons** : normal modes of lattice vibrations

Phonon scattering

(among themselves or boundaries, impurities and defects)



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg **Phonons** : normal modes of lattice vibrations

Phonon scattering

(among themselves or boundaries, impurities and defects)

Anharmonicity in crystal



3 phonon interaction

 $q_1 j_1$ $q_3 j_3$ $q_2 j_2$ $q_4 j_4$ 4 phonon interaction



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg Phonons : normal modes of lattice vibrations Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundaries, impurities and defects) Anharmonicity in crystal





3 phonon interaction

4 phonon interaction

Anharmonicity driven phonon - phonon scattering



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg Phonons : normal modes of lattice vibrations Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundaries, impurities and defects) Anharmonicity in crystal





3 phonon interaction

4 phonon interaction

Anharmonicity driven phonon - phonon scattering

Momentum conserving Normal (N) - process

 $q_1 + q_2 = q_3$



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg Phonons : normal modes of lattice vibrations
Phonon scattering
(among themselves or boundaries,
impurities and defects)
Anharmonicity in crystal





4 phonon interaction

3 phonon interaction

Anharmonicity driven

phonon - phonon scattering

Momentum conserving Normal (N) - process $q_1 + q_2 = q_3$ Momentum dissipating **Umklapp (U) - process** $q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + G$



Figure: phonon propagation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phono n#/media/File:Lattice_wave.svg **Phonons** : normal modes of lattice vibrations

Phonon scattering

(among themselves or boundaries, > thermal resistance impurities and defects)

Anharmonicity in crystal



3 phonon interaction



4 phonon interaction

Anharmonicity driven phonon - phonon scattering

Momentum conserving Normal (N) - process $q_1 + q_2 = q_3$ Momentum dissipating **Umklapp (U) - process** $q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + G$

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = C(n_{\lambda})$$

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = C(n_{\lambda})$$

 $\lambda \equiv (q, j)$ $n_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon distribution corresponding to } \lambda$ $\nu_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon group velocity}$ $C(n_{\lambda}) \text{ - Collision matrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ C(n_{\lambda}) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\lambda'\lambda''} \left[\frac{1}{2} |V_{\lambda(-\lambda')(-\lambda'')}|^2 \left(n_{\lambda}(1+n_{\lambda'})(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})n_{\lambda'}n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) + |V_{\lambda\lambda'(-\lambda'')}|^2 \left(n_{\lambda}n_{\lambda'}(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})(1+n_{\lambda''}) \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ C(n_{\lambda}) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{\lambda'\lambda''} \left[\frac{1}{2} |V_{\lambda(-\lambda')(-\lambda'')}|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}(1+n_{\lambda'})(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})n_{\lambda'}n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) + |V_{\lambda\lambda'(-\lambda'')}|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}n_{\lambda'}(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})(1+n_{\lambda'})n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) \right] \\ V_{\lambda\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} - \text{Strength of phonon scattering} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ C(n_{\lambda}) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{\lambda'\lambda''} \left[\frac{1}{2} |V_{\lambda(-\lambda')(-\lambda'')}|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}(1+n_{\lambda'})(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})n_{\lambda'}n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) + |V_{\lambda\lambda'(-\lambda'')}|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}n_{\lambda'}(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})(1+n_{\lambda'})n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) \right] \\ V_{\lambda\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} - \text{Strength of phonon scattering} \end{split}$$

Linearized approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \\ \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \lambda &\equiv (q, j) \\ n_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon distribution corresponding to } \lambda \\ \nu_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon group velocity} \\ C(n_{\lambda}) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{\lambda'\lambda''} \left[\frac{1}{2} |V_{\lambda(-\lambda')(-\lambda'')}|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}(1+n_{\lambda'})(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})n_{\lambda'}n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) + \right. \\ \left. \left. \left| V_{\lambda\lambda'(-\lambda'')} \right|^{2} \left(n_{\lambda}n_{\lambda'}(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})(1+n_{\lambda'})n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $V_{\lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ - Strength of phonon scattering

Linearized approximation

$$n_{\lambda} = n_{\lambda}^{0} + n_{\lambda}^{1}$$
$$n_{\lambda}^{1} = n_{\lambda}^{0} (1 + n_{\lambda}^{0}) \tilde{n}_{\lambda}^{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} &= C(n_{\lambda}) \end{aligned} & \lambda \equiv (q, j) \\ n_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon distribution corresponding to } \lambda \\ \nu_{\lambda} \text{ - phonon group velocity} \\ C(n_{\lambda}) &= -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\lambda'\lambda''} \left[\frac{1}{2} |V_{\lambda(-\lambda')(-\lambda'')}|^2 \left(n_{\lambda}(1+n_{\lambda'})(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})n_{\lambda'}n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) + |V_{\lambda\lambda'(-\lambda'')}|^2 \left(n_{\lambda}n_{\lambda'}(1+n_{\lambda''}) - (1+n_{\lambda})(1+n_{\lambda'})n_{\lambda''} \right) \delta(\omega_{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda'} - \omega_{\lambda''}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $V_{\lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ - Strength of phonon scattering

Linearized approximation

 $\begin{array}{ll} n_{\lambda} = n_{\lambda}^{0} + n_{\lambda}^{1} & n_{\lambda}^{0} - \text{Bose - Einstein distribution at local equilibrium} \\ n_{\lambda}^{1} = n_{\lambda}^{0} (1 + n_{\lambda}^{0}) \tilde{n}_{\lambda}^{1} & n_{\lambda}^{1} - \text{deviation from local equilibrium } \lambda \end{array}$

Relaxation time approximation (RTA)

Relaxation time approximation (RTA)

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}}$$

Relaxation time approximation (RTA)

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}}$$

$$\tau_{\lambda}$$
 - phonon relaxation time $\frac{1}{\tau_{\lambda}}$ - phonon scattering rate

Relaxation time approximation (RTA)

all phonons decay independently to local equilibrium

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}}$$

 τ_{λ} - phonon relaxation time $\frac{1}{\tau_{\lambda}}$ - phonon scattering rate

Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Relaxation time approximation (RTA)



Approximate solution model 1 - RTA



Figure: Scattering rates of Silicon at 300K (²⁸Si – 92.22%, ²⁹Si – 4.68%, ³⁰Si – 3.09%)



Both N and U scattering are of comparable strength



Both N and U scattering are of comparable strength

Thermal conductivity of Silicon (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

> **RTA prediction** : 122.5735 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 126.8397 W/m-K **Error : 3.36%**



Both N and U scattering are of comparable strength

Thermal conductivity of Silicon (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

RTA prediction : 122.5735 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 126.8397 W/m-K **Error : 3.36%**

Reasonably good prediction of thermal conductivity



Both N and U scattering are of comparable strength

Thermal conductivity of Silicon (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

RTA prediction : 122.5735 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 126.8397 W/m-K **Error : 3.36%**

Reasonably good prediction of thermal conductivity

RTA works good



Figure: Scattering rates of Diamond at 300K $(^{12}C - 98.9\%, ^{13}C - 1.10\%)$



N scattering stronger than U scattering

Figure: Scattering rates of Diamond at 300K $(^{12}C - 98.9\%, ^{13}C - 1.10\%)$



Figure: Scattering rates of Diamond at 300K $({}^{12}C - 98.9\%, {}^{13}C - 1.10\%)$

N scattering stronger than U scattering

Thermal conductivity of Diamond (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

RTA prediction : 1785.3828 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 2300.7065 W/m-K **Error : 22.39%**



Figure: Scattering rates of Diamond at 300K $({}^{12}C - 98.9\%, {}^{13}C - 1.10\%)$

N scattering stronger than U scattering

Thermal conductivity of Diamond (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

RTA prediction : 1785.3828 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 2300.7065 W/m-K **Error : 22.39%**

RTA underpredicts thermal conductivity



Figure: Scattering rates of Diamond at 300K $({}^{12}C - 98.9\%, {}^{13}C - 1.10\%)$

N scattering stronger than U scattering

Thermal conductivity of Diamond (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

RTA prediction : 1785.3828 W/m-K **Full BTE solution** : 2300.7065 W/m-K **Error : 22.39%**

RTA underpredicts thermal conductivity

RTA fails

Distinguishes momentum conserving and dissipating scattering events

Distinguishes momentum conserving and dissipating scattering events

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{U}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{defects}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{*}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{N}}$$

Distinguishes momentum conserving and dissipating scattering events

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{U}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{defects}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{*}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{N}}$$

 n_{λ}^* -flowing equilibrium that normal scattering drives n_{λ} towards

Distinguishes momentum conserving and dissipating scattering events

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{U}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{defects}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{*}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{N}}$$



 n_{λ}^* -flowing equilibrium that normal scattering drives n_{λ} towards

Thermal conductivity of Diamond (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

Callaway prediction : 2377.4269 W/m-K Full BTE solution : 2300.7065 W/m-K Error : 3.33%

Distinguishes momentum conserving and dissipating scattering events

$$\frac{\partial n_{\lambda}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nu}_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{\nabla} n_{\lambda} = -\frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{U}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{0}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{defects}} - \frac{n_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}^{*}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{N}}$$



 n_{λ}^* -flowing equilibrium that normal scattering drives n_{λ} towards

Thermal conductivity of Diamond (17³ q-grid, including phonon – isotope scattering)

Callaway prediction : 2377.4269 W/m-K Full BTE solution : 2300.7065 W/m-K Error : 3.33%

Reasonably good prediction of thermal conductivity

Summary

1) Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundary, impurities and defects) leads to resistance in heat flow. Anharmonic scattering can be momentum conserving (N - process) or dissipating (U – process).

- 1) Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundary, impurities and defects) leads to resistance in heat flow. Anharmonic scattering can be momentum conserving (N - process) or dissipating (U – process).
- 2) Higher relative contribution of U process results in higher thermal resistance. So ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials have relatively weak U process

- 1) Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundary, impurities and defects) leads to resistance in heat flow. Anharmonic scattering can be momentum conserving (N - process) or dissipating (U – process).
- 2) Higher relative contribution of U process results in higher thermal resistance. So ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials have relatively weak U process
- 3) Relaxation time approximation (RTA) works well under condition where U process are relatively strong

- 1) Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundary, impurities and defects) leads to resistance in heat flow. Anharmonic scattering can be momentum conserving (N - process) or dissipating (U – process).
- 2) Higher relative contribution of U process results in higher thermal resistance. So ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials have relatively weak U process
- 3) Relaxation time approximation (RTA) works well under condition where U process are relatively strong
- 4) Callaway approximation works better than RTA at low calculation cost compare to capture the exact solution of BTE

- 1) Phonon scattering (among themselves or boundary, impurities and defects) leads to resistance in heat flow. Anharmonic scattering can be momentum conserving (N - process) or dissipating (U – process).
- 2) Higher relative contribution of U process results in higher thermal resistance. So ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials have relatively weak U process
- 3) Relaxation time approximation (RTA) works well under condition where U process are relatively strong
- 4) Callaway approximation works better than RTA at low calculation cost compare to capture the exact solution of BTE

