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## Any questions about last time?

Overcoming challenges opens many opportunities for lattice studies of supersymmetric QFTs


Motivation, background, formulation
$\checkmark$ Supersymmetry breaking in discrete space-time Supersymmetry preservation - wrap up


Applications with significant recent progress
Maximal $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills
Lower dimensions $d<4$
Minimal $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills
Remaining challenges: Super-QCD;
Sign problems

## Any questions about last time?

Overcoming challenges opens many opportunities for lattice studies of supersymmetric QFTs


Motivation, background, formulation - wrap up
Applications with significant recent progress


Remaining challenges

Conceptual focus with interaction encouraged "It's better to uncover a little than to cover a lot" (V. Weisskopf)

Lattice $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM - recap
Lattice action $S_{\text {lat }}=\frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]$

## Gauge invariance $\longleftrightarrow$ trace over closed loops

Fixes orientations of lattice variables and finite-difference operators

## Site variables

## Link variables

## Plaquette variables

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G(n) \eta(n) G^{\dagger}(n) \quad & G(n) \psi_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \\
& G(n) \mathcal{U}_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \\
& G\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}(n)
\end{array}
$$

Lattice $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM — recap

## Site variables

Link variables
Plaquette variables
$G(n) \eta(n) G^{\dagger}(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(n) \psi_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \\
& G(n) \mathcal{U}_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \\
& G\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(n) G^{\dagger}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$



Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \psi_{a}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left[\chi_{a b} \mathcal{U}_{a} \psi_{b}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lattice $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM — geometric structure

Return to dimensional reduction, treating all five $\mathcal{U}_{a}$ symmetrically

Start with hypercubic lattice
in 5d momentum space

Symmetric constraint $\sum_{a} \partial_{a}=0$ projects to 4d momentum space

Result is $A_{4}$ lattice
$\longrightarrow$ dual $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice in position space

## $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice of five links spanning four dimensions

Return to dimensional reduction, treating all five $\mathcal{U}_{a}$ symmetrically
$A_{4}^{*} \sim 4 \mathrm{~d}$ analog of 2d triangular lattice

Basis vectors linearly dependent and non-orthogonal

Large $S_{5}$ point group symmetry


## $S_{5}$ point group symmetry

$S_{5}$ irreps precisely match onto irreps of twisted $\mathrm{SO}(4)_{\mathrm{tw}}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\psi_{a} & \longrightarrow \psi_{\mu}, \bar{\eta} & \text { is } & \mathbf{5} \longrightarrow \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{1} \\
\chi_{a b} \longrightarrow \chi_{\mu \nu}, \bar{\psi}_{\mu} & \text { is } & \mathbf{1 0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{6} \oplus \mathbf{4}
\end{array}
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mu} & =P_{\mu a} \psi_{a} & \chi_{\mu \nu} & =P_{\mu a} P_{\nu b} \chi_{a b} \\
\bar{\eta} & =P_{5 a} \psi_{a} & \bar{\psi}_{\mu} & =P_{\mu a} P_{5 b} \chi_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} & -\frac{4}{\sqrt{20}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Projection matrix, $P^{-1}=P^{T}$
$P_{\mu a}=\left(\widehat{e}_{a}\right)_{\mu}$ are basis vectors of $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice

## Restoration of $\mathcal{Q}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{a b}$ supersymmetries

$S_{5}$ irreps precisely match onto irreps of twisted $\mathrm{SO}(4)_{\mathrm{tw}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{a} & \longrightarrow \psi_{\mu}, \bar{\eta} & \text { is } & \mathbf{5} \longrightarrow \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{1} \\
\chi_{a b} \longrightarrow \chi_{\mu \nu}, \bar{\psi}_{\mu} & & \text { is } & \mathbf{1 0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{6} \oplus \mathbf{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mu} & =P_{\mu \mathrm{a}} \psi_{a} & \chi_{\mu \nu} & =P_{\mu a} P_{\nu b} \chi_{a b} \\
\bar{\eta} & =P_{5 a} \psi_{a} & \bar{\psi}_{\mu} & =P_{\mu a} P_{5 b} \chi_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Continuum limit (I)

Assuming RG blocking transformation that preserves $\mathcal{Q}$ and $S_{5}$
compare lattice action and most general long-range $S_{\text {eff }}$ allowed by symmetries

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {lat }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right] \\
S_{\text {eff }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\alpha_{1} \chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\alpha_{2} \eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right] \\
& +\gamma \mathcal{Q}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate three $\alpha_{i}$ by rescaling fields and 't Hooft coupling

## Continuum limit (I)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {lat }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right] \\
S_{\text {eff }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\alpha_{1} \chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\alpha_{2} \eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right] \\
& +\gamma \mathcal{Q}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate three $\alpha_{i}$ by rescaling fields and 't Hooft coupling

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\longrightarrow S_{\text {eff }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}
\end{array}\right]\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{2}^{2}} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]\right] \text { } \begin{aligned}
& \\
&+\gamma^{\prime} \mathcal{Q}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moduli space

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S_{\text {eff }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}
\end{array}\right] \mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{2}^{2}} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]\right] \text { } \quad+\gamma^{\prime} \mathcal{Q}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\}
$$

$\gamma^{\prime}$ terms $\longrightarrow$ scalar mass and cubics, lifting moduli space
Lattice action is 'topological' ( $\mathcal{Q}$-invariant) observable, $\mathcal{Q O}=0$
$\longrightarrow$ can be analyzed semi-classically

## Moduli space

Lattice action is 'topological' ( $\mathcal{Q}$-invariant) observable, $\mathcal{Q O}=0$

## $\longrightarrow$ can be analyzed semi-classically

Field rescalings $\longrightarrow S_{\text {lat }}=g^{-2} \mathcal{Q} \Lambda+S_{\text {closed }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial g^{-2}}\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle & =\frac{\partial}{\partial g^{-2}} \frac{\int \mathcal{O} e^{-g^{-2} Q} \Lambda-S_{\text {closed }}}{\int e^{-g^{-2} Q \Lambda-S_{\text {closed }}}} \\
& =-\langle\mathcal{O Q} \Lambda\rangle+\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\langle\mathcal{Q} \Lambda\rangle=-\langle\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O} \Lambda)\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Longrightarrow Z_{\text {lat }}=\int e^{-S_{\text {lat }}}$ independent of coupling, so perturbatively compute to one loop for $g^{2} \rightarrow 0$

## A bit of lattice perturbation theory

Propagators and vertices affected by space-time discretization
Example (Feynman gauge):

$$
\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{A}}\left(k_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(-k_{\mu}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{k^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sum_{\mu} k_{\mu}^{2}} \longrightarrow \frac{a^{2}}{\sum_{\mu} 4 \sin ^{2}\left(a k_{\mu} / 2\right)}
$$

Aside: Up to one loop, all divergences occur for $\left|a k_{\mu}\right| \ll 1$ where lattice and continuum results coincide
$\Longrightarrow$ Lattice $\beta=0$ to one loop (but not topological)

## A bit of lattice perturbation theory

Propagators and vertices affected by space-time discretization
Example (Feynman gauge):

$$
\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{A}}\left(k_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(-k_{\mu}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{k^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sum_{\mu} k_{\mu}^{2}} \longrightarrow \frac{a^{2}}{\sum_{\mu} 4 \sin ^{2}\left(a k_{\mu} / 2\right)}
$$

One-loop partition function:

$$
Z_{\text {lat }}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{D}_{a}\right] \operatorname{det}^{4}\left[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{D}_{a}\right]}{\operatorname{det}^{5}\left[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{D}_{a}\right]}=1
$$

Cancellation between ghosts \& fermions vs. bosons
$\Longrightarrow$ quantum moduli space protected to all orders in lattice perturbation theory

## Continuum limit (II)

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S_{\text {eff }} \sim & \operatorname{Tr}
\end{array}\right] \mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{2}^{2}} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]\right] \text { } \quad+\gamma \mathcal{Q}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\}\right\}
$$

Protected moduli space perturbatively forces $\gamma=0$

Assuming non-perturbative effects (e.g., instantons) also preserve moduli space, only one log. tuning to recover full continuum symmetries $\mathrm{SO}(4)_{\mathrm{tw}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{ab}}$

## Real-space RG for lattice $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$

Above also assumed RG blocking transformation that preserves $\mathcal{Q}$ and $S_{5}$ symmetries $\longleftrightarrow$ geometric structure

Simple transformation constructed in arXiv:1408.7067

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{a}^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & =\xi \mathcal{U}_{a}(n) \mathcal{U}_{a}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \\
\psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & =\xi\left[\psi_{a}(n) \mathcal{U}_{a}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right)+\mathcal{U}_{a}(n) \psi_{a}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}\right)\right] \\
\chi_{a b}^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & =\xi^{2}\left[\text { six permutations of } \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\eta^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right)=\eta(n)
$$

Doubles lattice spacing $a \longrightarrow a^{\prime}=2 a$, with tunable rescaling factor $\xi$

$$
G(n) \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right) G^{\dagger}\left(n+2 \widehat{\mu}_{a}\right) \quad G\left(n+2 \widehat{\mu}_{a}+2 \widehat{\mu}_{b}\right) \chi_{a b}^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}\right) G^{\dagger}(n)
$$

## Checkpoint

$\checkmark$ Motivation, background, formulation
$\checkmark$ Supersymmetry breaking in discrete space-time

$\checkmark$ Supersymmetry preservation in discrete space-time
Applications with significant recent progress
Maximal $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills
Lower dimensions $d<4$
Minimal $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills
Remaining challenges: Super-QCD; Sign problems

## Questions?

"It's better to uncover a little than to cover a lot"

## Moving towards practical lattice calculation

Analytic results for twisted $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM on $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice
$\mathrm{U}(N)$ gauge invariance $+\mathcal{Q}+S_{5}$ lattice symmetries
$\longrightarrow$ Moduli space preserved to all orders
$\longrightarrow$ One-loop lattice $\beta$ function vanishes
$\longrightarrow$ Only one log. tuning to recover continuum $\mathcal{Q}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{a b}$

Not yet practical for numerical calculations
Must regulate zero modes and flat directions, in both $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ sectors

## Problem with $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ flat directions

Recall $\mathcal{U}_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{N}+\mathcal{A}_{a}$ needed to recover continuum covariant derivative
Links can wander far away when doing Markov-chain importance sampling via rational hybrid Monte Carlo (RHMC) algorithm


Complexified Polyakov loop
('Maldacena loop', ML)
$\mathrm{ML}=\frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{x, y, z} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\prod_{t=0}^{N_{t}-1} \mathcal{U}_{t}(x, y, z, t)\right]$
Should have $|\mathrm{ML}| \approx 1$ for all $\lambda_{\text {lat }}$ $(\mathcal{Q} \overline{\mathrm{ML}}=0)$

## Regulating $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ flat directions

Add $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ scalar potential to lattice action - multiple options, similar behavior

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
S_{\text {lat }}=\frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]+\frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \mu^{2} V \\
V=\sum_{a}\left(\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-1\right)^{2} \quad V=\sum_{a} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}-\mathbb{I}_{N}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Gauge-invariant but explicitly breaks $\mathcal{Q}$

Continuum limit requires $\mu^{2} \rightarrow 0$ to restore $\mathcal{Q}$ and recover physical moduli space

## Soft $\mathcal{Q}$ breaking

$\operatorname{SU}(N)$ scalar potential $\propto \mu^{2} \sum_{a}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-N\right)^{2}$ breaks $\mathcal{Q}$ softly
$\longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$-violating operators vanish $\propto \mu^{2} \rightarrow 0$

Check via Ward identity violations $\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{Q}\left[\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]\right\rangle \neq 0$

$$
\mathcal{Q} \eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}=\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{b} \mathcal{U}_{b}\right) \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}-\eta \psi_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}
$$



## Problem with $\mathrm{U}(1)$ flat directions

## $\mathrm{U}(N)=\mathrm{SU}(N) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$ includes compact $\mathrm{U}(1)$ lattice gauge theory

$\longrightarrow$ confinement transition via monopole condensation
Count monopole worldlines from phases of $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{U}$ in plaquettes bounding cells [DeGrand-Toussaint, 1980]


## Counting monopole worldlines

## $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice complicates monopole worldline counting

Represent $A_{4}^{*}$ as hypercube plus backwards diagonal link
Merge cells into hypercubes to count - neighboring $M_{\mu}-\bar{M}_{\mu}$ pairs annihilate

$\leadsto$


## $\mathrm{U}(1)$ confinement transition



Monopole condensation $\longrightarrow$ confined lattice phase not present in continuum

## Naively regulating $U(1)$ flat directions

Can add another soft $\mathcal{Q}$-breaking term depending on plaquette determinant

$$
S_{\text {soft }}=\frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \mu^{2} \sum_{a}\left(\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}\right]-1\right)^{2}+\kappa \sum_{a<b}\left|\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}-1\right|^{2}
$$

Ward identity violations more sensitive to $\kappa$ than to $\mu^{2}$

Here checking bosonic action

$$
\mathcal{Q} S_{\text {lat }}=0 \longrightarrow\left\langle s_{B}\right\rangle=9 N^{2} / 2
$$



## Better regulating $\mathrm{U}(1)$ flat directions

Possible to impose $\mathcal{Q}$-invariant constraints on generic site operator $\mathcal{O}(n)$

$$
S_{\text {lat }} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta\left\{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}+G \mathcal{O}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}+\mu^{2} V\right]
$$

Modifies auxiliary field equations of motion $\longrightarrow$ moduli space [arXiv:1505.03135]

$$
d(n)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}(n) \quad \longrightarrow \quad d(n)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}(n)+G \mathcal{O}
$$

Choose $\mathcal{O}=\sum_{a \neq b}\left[\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}-1\right] \mathbb{I}_{N}$ to lift $\mathrm{U}(1)$ zero mode \& flat directions
$\mathrm{U}(1)$ decouples in continuum $\longrightarrow$ no need to tune parameter $G$

## Better regulating $\mathrm{U}(1)$ flat directions

$$
S_{\text {lat }} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta\left\{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}+G \sum_{a<b}\left[\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}-1\right] \mathbb{I}_{N}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}+\mu^{2} V\right]
$$

Much better approach to continuum
Ward ident. violations $\propto(a / L)^{2}$
$\left\langle\frac{(Q Q 1}{\sqrt{D^{2}+k^{2}}}\right)_{0.01}^{0.02}$
Effective $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement
$\quad$ since $\mathcal{Q}$ forbids all dim. -5 ops

## Larger $N$ improves soft $\mathcal{Q}$ breaking

$S_{\text {lat }} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta\left\{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}+G \sum_{a<b}\left[\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}-1\right] \mathbb{I}_{N}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}+\mu^{2} V\right]$

Larger $N$ also helps
for both actions

Ward ident. violations $\propto 1 / N^{2}$


## Can we do even better?

What if we include both $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ deformations in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ ? [arXiv:1505.03135]

$$
S_{\text {lat }} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta\left\{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}+G \mathcal{O}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\text {abcde }} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}\right]
$$

## Over-constrains system $\longrightarrow$ Ward ident. violations without explicit $\mathcal{Q}$ breaking




## Ongoing experimentation

## What if $\mathcal{O}=\sum_{a}\left[\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{U}_{a}-1\right] \mathbb{I}_{N}$ ?

$$
S_{\text {lat }} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\chi_{a b} \mathcal{F}_{a b}+\eta\left\{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \mathcal{U}_{a}+G \mathcal{O}\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \eta d\right)-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{a b} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c} \chi_{d e}+\mu^{2} V\right]
$$


$\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge dependent!
$\mathrm{U}(1)$ decouples as $a \rightarrow 0$
$\longrightarrow$ irrelevant for $a>0$ ?

Results look reasonable, reach strong $\lambda_{\text {lat }}=30$

## The cost of twisted lattice $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$

so that the full improved action becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\text {imp }}= & S_{\text {exact }}^{\prime}+S_{\text {closed }}+S_{\text {soft }}^{\prime}  \tag{18}\\
S_{\text {exact }}^{\prime}= & \frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left[-\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{a b}(n) \mathcal{F}_{a b}(n)-\chi_{a b}(n) \mathcal{D}_{[a}^{(+)} \psi_{b]}(n)-\eta(n) \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)} \psi_{a}(n)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)} \mathcal{U}_{a}(n)+G \sum_{a \neq b}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}(n)-1\right) \mathbb{I}_{N}\right)^{2}\right]-S_{\text {det }} \\
S_{\text {det }}= & \frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} G \sum_{n} \operatorname{Tr}[\eta(n)] \sum_{a \neq b}\left[\operatorname{det} \mathcal{P}_{a b}(n)\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{b}^{-1}(n) \psi_{b}(n)+\mathcal{U}_{a}^{-1}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{b}\right) \psi_{a}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{b}\right)\right] \\
S_{\text {closed }}= & -\frac{N}{16 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\epsilon_{a b c d e} \chi_{\text {de }}\left(n+\widehat{\mu}_{a}+\widehat{\mu}_{b}+\widehat{\mu}_{c}\right) \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)} \chi_{a b}(n)\right], \\
S_{\text {soft }}^{\prime}= & \frac{N}{4 \lambda_{\text {lat }}} \mu^{2} \sum_{n} \sum_{a}\left(\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{U}_{a}(n) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(n)\right]-1\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Computationally challenging, e.g. $\gtrsim 100$ gathers per fermion matrix-vector op.
Public parallel code github.com/daschaich/susy [arXiv:1410.6971] actively developed for improved performance and new applications

## Computational cost scaling

## Blue: RHMC cost scaling $\sim N^{3.5}$ since condition number increases [and $\sim V^{5 / 4}$ ] Red: Pfaffian cost scaling $\sim N^{6}$ as expected



## Next time

Overcoming challenges opens many opportunities for lattice studies of supersymmetric QFTs

$\checkmark$ Motivation, background, formulation
$\checkmark$ Supersymmetry breaking in discrete space-time $\checkmark$ Supersymmetry preservation

Applications with significant recent progress

Lower dimensions $d<4$
Minimal $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills
Remaining challenges: Super-QCD;
Sign problems

$$
\text { Maximal } \mathcal{N}=4 \text { super-Yang-Mills }- \text { wrap up }
$$

