Origin of two distinct stress relaxation regimes in shear jammed dense suspensions



Sachidananda Barik, Sayantan Majumdar Soft Condensed Matter Group Raman Research Institute, Bengaluru

Introduction



Can't be understood from the properties of liquid and solid

Reversible liquid-solid transition



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JJfppydyGHw&t=52s





Ben Allen, Yale University

Peters, Majumdar and Jaeger, Nature (2016)

Relaxation of shear jammed fluid: Why care ?



Microscopic mechanism: hydrodynamics, contact interaction, additional constraints...

***** Transient relaxation is equally important for potential applications.

Transient stress relaxation in dense suspensions poorly understood



Numerical modelling

- Relaxation and plasticity of force chain structure [Baumgarten and Kamrin; PNAS (2019); JOR (2020)]
- Transient relaxation in over-damped athermal dense suspensions of frictionless spheres close to jamming

[Hatano; PRE (2009); Ikada et al.; PRL (2020)]

Strongly non-exponential/multi mode relaxation behavior close to jamming

Particle-scale dynamics controlling the transient relaxation not explored

System Studied

Dense suspension of <u>Polystyrene Particles</u> in Polyethylene Glycol (PEG 400).



$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Setup} \ \mathbf{for} \ \mathbf{polystyrene} \ \mathbf{microsphere} \\ \mathbf{synthesis} \end{array}$



Polystyrene Particles





At higher volume fractions and higher stresses system shows shear-thickening/jamming

Experimental Protocol



Transient stress relaxation under a step- strain perturbation



Low peak-stress value: Continuous relaxation High peak-stress value: Discontinuous stress drop

$$\sigma(t) \sim t^{-\alpha} e^{-(t/\tau)^{\mu}}$$

(Power-law cut-off by a stretched exponential)

Similar functional form is observed in simulation for relaxation of dense frictionless suspension close to jamming

[Hatano; PRE(2009), Ikada et al.; PRL(2020)]

Large scale stress drop and discontinuity

Normal stress response during transient stress relaxation



$$N_{1} = \frac{2F_{N}}{\pi r^{2}} \longrightarrow 1^{\text{st}} \text{ Normal stress difference}$$

$$F_{N}: \text{ Normal force on cone/plate}$$

$$r: \text{ Radius of cone/plate}$$

- > For high peak stress value, two distinct relaxation regimes
- Stronger normal force response during the slower relaxation process

Rheology and in-situ boundary imaging



Strain : OFF



Strain : OFF





Plastic center and dilation relaxation



Bright spots are localized plasticity



Localized vs system spanning particle rearrangements



t = 1.327 s

t = 1.344 s

Origin of fast and slow relaxation times

Excellent agreement between the time scales obtained from rheology and boundary imaging



Slow relaxation time sensitive to the solvent viscosity and particle volume fraction

Fast relaxation time remains unaffected, since it is governed by particle-scale plasticity.

Quantitative estimation of time scales



- $au_p\,$ PC relaxation time (imaging)
- $\tau_d~$ Dilation relaxation time (imaging)
- au_f Fast relaxation time (Rheology)
- $au_{s}~$ Slow relaxation time (Rheology)
- i Inverse of critical shear rate (Steady Rheology)





Connection of transient relaxation with steady state SJ phase diagram



Parameters : ϕ_0 , ϕ_m and σ^* are estimated from steady state flow curve using Wyart Cates Model

Conclusions and outlook

1. We identify two distinct transient stress relaxation regimes in shear jamming dense suspensions.

2. We correlate these time scales with localized plastic events and system spanning dilation. Changing particle volume fraction and solvent viscosity such mechanism is further confirmed.

3. Intriguing correlation between nature of transient relaxation and steady state phase diagram obtained from Wyart Cates model.





Acknowledgement

I acknowledge Dr. Sayantan Majumdar, all my lab members for their active supports and K M Yatheendran for SEM.



Dr. Sayantan Majumdar



Lab members

Pradip Kumar Bera Sebanti Chattopadhyay

Abhishek Ghadai

Akhil Mohanan

Shibil Adam