Genetic Diversity in Changing Environment

Sachin Kaushik

Theoretical Sciences Unit Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR) Bengaluru, India

Supervisor : Prof. Kavita Jain

◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ◆日> ● ●

- Evolution requires diversity to act upon
- Loss of diversity increases the risk of extinction
- Potential for adaptation to the changing environment



(H.B.D Kettlewell, 1955)

< □ > < 同 >

Increases Genetic variations:

- Mutations : Random change in the DNA sequence
- Recombination : Mixing of the genetic material

Decreases Genetic variations :

- Natural Selection : Better fit individual produces more offsprings
- Stochastic force : Sampling noise

< D > < A >

- Growth factor :
- Two absorbing states:



Mutant propagates

- First passage probability?
- First passage time?



 \bigcirc

1

Mutant extincts eventually

 $1\pm s$

- Beneficial and deleterious mutations have identical conditional mean first passage time (Maruyama & Kimura, 1974)
- $\bar{T}_c(s) = \bar{T}_c(-s)$
- Beneficial mutant (1 + s) hit boundary
 1 sooner because of higher growth rate
- Deleterious mutant (1 s) hit boundary 1 sooner to avoid extinction at late times



- Selective Sweep : Spread of a mutant in the entire population
- Similar diversity pattern are generated due to beneficial sweep and deleterious sweep(Johri et al. 2020)
- Can not distinguish between the two sweeps



Booker & Keightley, 2018

- Natural environments are not static
- Examples: Global warming, less rainfall, shrinking of forest areas, seasonal change.
- Changing environment : s(t) and N(t)
- Static environment vs slowly changing environment.
- Questions:
 - 1. Effect of changing growth rate on the selective sweeps (Kaushik & Jain, 2021)

2. Joint effect of changing population size and changing growth rate on genetic diversity (Jain & Kaushik, 2022)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

- In changing environment, time inhomogenous Markov process
- Probability distribution $(P(x, t|x_0, t_0))$ for a mutant obeys backward Fokker Planck equation:

$$-\frac{\partial P}{\partial t_0} = s(t_0)x_0(1-x_0)\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_0} + \frac{x_0(1-x_0)}{2N}\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_0^2}$$
(1)

- Eventual first passage probability $P(x=1,t
 ightarrow\infty|x_0,t_0)$
- First passage probability is studied in detail for the changing environment. (Devi & Jain, 2020; Uecker & Hermisson, 2011)

Image: A math a math

• Mean first passage time obeys time inhomogenous backward Fokker Planck equation:

$$-\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t_0} - P(x_0, t_0) = s(t_0)x_0(1-x_0)\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial x_0} + \frac{x_0(1-x_0)}{2N}\frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial x_0^2}$$
(2)

- Adiabatic approximation for slowly changing environment: $\bar{T} = \bar{T}_{static} + N\omega T_1$
- t_0 is the arrival time of the mutant and ω is environmental frequency

< □ > < 同 >

- Initially mutant evolves stochastically
- Fokker Planck equation is simplified to Feller equation $(x \rightarrow 0)$
- After escaping stochastic loss, mutant evolve deterministically
- First passage time distribution is obtained by matching the frequencies at the stochastic phase and deterministic phase boundaries



Martin & Lambert 2015

- Popuation with constant size *N*, growth rate varies periodically with time (*s*(*t*))
- A mutant is chosen for reproduction with prob. $\propto (1\pm s(t))$
- Another randomly chosen individual is killed
- Time dependent birth and death rates :

$$egin{split} r_b(t) \propto \left(1\pm s(t)
ight) i\left(1-rac{i}{N}
ight) \ r_d(t) \propto (1) i\left(1-rac{i}{N}
ight) \end{split}$$





Results



- No more Maruyama Kimura symmetry in changing environment $T_c(-s) \neq T_c(-s)$
- Deleterious sweeps are highly affected even in the slowly changing environment
- First passage probability : for deleterious and beneficial

 $(e^{-2N|s|}) \qquad (2s)$

• Genetic variation can increase/decrease depending on the time of arrival of the mutant

- On average neutral growth rate
- Population size changes periodically
- Recurrent mutations (arrival times of mutations are arbitrary)
- Multiple independent Birth Death processes
- Different diversity patterns even in the slowly changing environment compared to the static environment



Scaled growth factor $(\overline{N} s)$

- Maruyama Kimura symmetry is not preserved in changing environment
- Constant environment assumption is good for beneficial mutations
- Changing environment affects deleterious mutations strongly
- Even slowly changing environment can produce qualitatively different genetic diversity patterns
- Deleterious mutations are unlikely to spread, what happens when they get eliminated? (work in progress)