Primordial Black Holes from the Supercooled Phase Transitions with Radiative Symmetry Breaking

Francesco Rescigno

University of Rome Tor Vergata, INFN Roma2

arXiv:2412.06889 [hep-ph] I.K. Banerjee, F.Rescigno, A.Salvio

Hearing beyond the standard model with cosmic sources of Gravitational Waves
ICTS-TIFR, Bengaluru, January 2025

Roadmap

1. FOPT, Supercool Expansion and Improved Supercool Expansion

2. Late blooming mechanism

Roadmap

1. FOPT, Supercool Expansion and Improved Supercool Expansion
2. Late blooming mechanism

First Order Phase Transitions (FOPT)

- FOPT can occur in the early Universe when the temperature T drops below a critical value T_c
- The finite temperature effective potential $V(\chi, T)$ develops a new stable minima (**true vacuum**), while the old minima becomes metastable (**false vacuum**)
- The false vacuum eventually **decay in the true vacuum**
- The false vacuum decay manifest as the **nucleation of true vacuum bubbles** in a background of false vacuum



Figure 1: Image from JCAP **04** (2023), 051 [arXiv:2302.10212 [hep-ph]], A. Salvio

Radiative Symmetry Breaking (RSB)

- We start from the most general no-scale Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm ns} = -\frac{1}{4}F^A_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}_A + \frac{1}{2}D_\mu\phi_a D^\mu\phi_a + \bar{\psi}_j i\not{D}\psi_j - \frac{1}{2}(Y^a_{ij}\psi_i\psi_j\phi_a + \text{h.c.}) - V_{\rm ns}(\phi),$$

$$D_\mu\phi_a = \partial_\mu\phi_a + i\theta^A_{ab}V^A_\mu\phi_b, \qquad D_\mu\psi_j = \partial_\mu\psi_j + it^A_{jk}V^A_\mu\psi_k,$$

$$V_{\rm ns}(\phi) = \frac{\lambda_{abcd}}{4!}\phi_a\phi_b\phi_c\phi_d.$$

Radiative Symmetry Breaking (RSB)

- At quantum level the couplings depend on the RG energy μ, there may be some specific value $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$ at which the potential $V_{\rm ns}(\phi)$ develops a flat direction parametrized as $\phi_a = \chi \nu_a$
$$V(\chi) = \frac{\lambda_\chi(\mu)}{4}\chi^4, \qquad \lambda_\chi(\mu) \equiv \frac{1}{3!}\lambda_{abcd}(\mu)\nu_a\nu_b\nu_c\nu_d,$$
such that $\lambda_\chi(\tilde{\mu}) = 0$ (flat direction).

- The one-loop effective potential at zero temperature is
$$V_q(\chi) = \frac{\lambda_\chi(\mu)}{4}\chi^4 + \frac{\beta_{\lambda_\chi}}{4}\left(\log\frac{\chi}{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\chi^4, \qquad \beta_{\lambda_\chi} \equiv \mu\frac{d\lambda_\chi}{d\mu}.$$

Radiative Symmetry Breaking (RSB)

- Setting $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$ we obtain $\lambda_\chi = 0$, i.e.

$$V_q(\chi) = \frac{\bar{\beta}}{4}\left(\log\frac{\chi}{\chi_0} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\chi^4,$$

$$\bar{\beta} \equiv [\beta_{\lambda_\chi}]_{\mu=\tilde{\mu}}, \qquad \chi_0 \equiv \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{e^{1/4+a_s}}.$$

- When the conditions,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_\chi(\tilde{\mu}) = 0 & \text{(flat direction)} \\ \beta_{\lambda_\chi}(\tilde{\mu}) > 0 & \text{(minimum condition)} \end{cases}$$

  are fulfilled χ_0 is the zero temperature vacuum-expectation value of χ and is the new absolute minima of $V(\chi)$

- the fluctuation around χ_0 have mass $m_\chi^2 = \bar{\beta}\chi_0^2$

Radiative Symmetry Breaking (RSB)

- The non trivial minimum can generically break global and/or local symmetries and thus generate the particle masses, where χ_0 plays the role of symmetry breaking scale

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi h} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ab}\phi_a\phi_b|\mathcal{H}|^2, \qquad \lambda_{\chi h}(\mu) \equiv \lambda_{ab}(\mu)\nu_a\nu_b.$$

- RG improving and setting $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\chi\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{\mu})\chi^2|\mathcal{H}|^2,$$

evaluated at the minimum

$$\mu_h^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\chi h}(\tilde{\mu})\chi_0^2.$$

Effective thermal potential

- For the no-scale theory in question, we have a thermal effective potential of the form:

Effective Thermal Potential

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\chi, T) = V_q(\chi) + \frac{T^4}{2\pi^2}\left(\sum_b n_b J_B(m_b^2(\chi)/T^2) - 2\sum_f J_F(m_f^2(\chi)/T^2)\right) + \Lambda_0,$$

$$J_B(x) \equiv \int_0^\infty dp\, p^2 \log\left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^2+x}}\right) = -\frac{\pi^4}{45} + \frac{\pi^2}{12}x - \frac{\pi}{6}x^{3/2} - \frac{x^2}{32}\log\left(\frac{x}{a_B}\right) + O(x^3),$$

$$J_F(x) \equiv \int_0^\infty dp\, p^2 \log\left(1 + e^{-\sqrt{p^2+x}}\right) = \frac{7\pi^4}{360} - \frac{\pi^2}{24}x - \frac{x^2}{32}\log\left(\frac{x}{a_F}\right) + O(x^3),$$

$$a_B = 16\pi^2 \exp(3/2 - 2\gamma_E), \qquad a_F = \pi^2 \exp(3/2 - 2\gamma_E).$$

Vacuum Decay

- In such models the production of true vacuum bubbles is described by the **time independent bounce**

Decay rate of the false vacuum per unit of volume (time independent bounce)

$$\Gamma \approx T^4 \mathsf{exp}(-S_3/T),$$

$$S_3 \equiv 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr\, r^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\chi'^2 + \bar{V}_{\rm eff}(\chi, T)\right) = -8\pi \int_0^\infty dr\, r^2 \bar{V}_{\rm eff}(\chi, T),$$

$$\chi'' + \frac{2}{r}\chi' = \frac{d\bar{V}_{\rm eff}}{d\chi}, \qquad \chi'(0) = 0, \qquad \lim_{r\to\infty} \chi(r) = 0, \qquad \bar{V}_{\rm eff}(\chi, T) = V_{\rm eff}(\chi, T) - V_{\rm eff}(0, T).$$

Properties of Phase Transition in RSB models

- Phase Transitions in RSB models are **always FOPT**
- FOPTs in RSB feature always a **supercooling phase**, i.e. the temperature drops much below T_c before the bubble nucleation became effective.
  This ensures that:
  - at relevant temperatures the **thermal corrections are small**
  - the zero temperature mass is much larger than the thermal mass, **avoiding the infrared Linde problem**
- FOPT in RSB models are always **strong**, the PT release a large amount of energy



Figure 2: Image from JCAP **04** (2023), 051 [arXiv:2302.10212 [hep-ph]], A. Salvio

Supercool Expansion

JCAP **04** (2023), 051 [arXiv:2302.10212 [hep-ph]], A. Salvio

Supercool Expansion (LO)

If supercooling is strong enough in a generic theory of the form $\mathcal{L}^{\text{ns}}$, to good accuracy, the full effective action for relevant values of χ can be described by **three and only three parameters**: χ_0, $\bar{\beta}$ and g defined as

$$g^2\chi^2 \equiv \sum_b n_b m_b^2(\chi) + \sum_f m_f^2(\chi).$$

The conditions for supercool expansion is verified if

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{g^4}{6\bar{\beta}\log\frac{\chi_0}{T}} < 1.$$

Supercool Expansion

- The LO of this expansion correspond to approximate the effective potential as

$$J_B(x) \approx J_B(0) + \frac{\pi^2}{12}x, \qquad J_F(x) \approx J_F(0) - \frac{\pi^2}{24}x,$$

so

$$\bar{V}_{\text{eff}}(\chi, T) \approx \frac{m^2(T)}{2}\chi^2 - \frac{\lambda(T)}{4}\chi^4, \qquad S_3 \approx c_3\frac{m}{\lambda},$$

where

$$m^2(T) \equiv \frac{g^2T^2}{12}, \qquad \lambda(T) \equiv \bar{\beta}\log\frac{\chi_0}{T}, \qquad c_3 = 18.8973\ldots$$

Supercool Expansion

- It is possible to calculate several FOTP parameters:
  - **Nucleation temperature**: Defined as the temperature T_n for which
$$\Gamma(T_n) \approx H(T_n)^4 \equiv H_n^4.$$
  - **Inverse duration**: Defined as
$$\beta \equiv \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\right]_{t_n}.$$

Supercool Expansion

- The nucleation temperature T_n is obtained solving the equation

$$\Gamma(T_n) \approx H_n^4 \approx H_I^4 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad cX - 4X^2 - a \approx 0$$

where $H_I = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\chi_0^2}{4\sqrt{3}\bar{M}_P}$ is the Hubble rate when the vacuum is still dominant respect the true vacuum, and

$$X \equiv \log\frac{\chi_0}{T_n}, \qquad a \equiv \frac{c_3 g}{\sqrt{12\beta}}, \qquad c \equiv \log\frac{4\sqrt{3}\bar{M}_P}{\sqrt{\beta}\chi_0} + \frac{3}{2}\log\frac{a}{2\pi}.$$

- Solving the equation we obtain

$$T_n \approx \chi_0 \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{c^2 - 16a} - c}{8}\right).$$

- The inverse duration can be written as

$$\frac{\beta}{H_n} \approx \left[T\frac{d}{dT}(S_3/T) - 4 - \frac{3}{2}T\frac{d}{dT}\log(S_3/T)\right]_{T=T_n}$$
$$\approx \frac{a}{\log^2(\chi_0/T_n)} - 4 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\log(\chi_0/T_n)},$$

neglecting the last term

$$\frac{\beta}{H_n} \approx \frac{a}{\log^2(\chi_0/T_n)} - 4.$$

Supercool Expansion

- LO approximation means neglect terms of relative order $\sqrt{\epsilon}$
- The NLO expansion includes higher order terms in the expansion of the thermal function

$$J_B(x) \approx J_B(0) + \frac{\pi^2}{12}x - \frac{\pi}{6}x^{3/2}, \qquad J_F(x) \approx J_F(0) - \frac{\pi^2}{24}x.$$

- The NLO effective potential includes a perturbative cubic term

$$\bar{V}_{\text{eff}}(\chi, T) \approx \frac{m^2(\chi)}{2}\chi^2 - \frac{k(T)}{3}\chi^3 - \frac{\lambda(T)}{4}\chi^4$$

$$k(T) \equiv \frac{\tilde{g}^3 T}{4\pi}, \qquad \tilde{g}^3\chi^3 \equiv \sum_b n_b m_b^3(\chi), \qquad \tilde{g} \leq g.$$

Extending the validity of the supercool expansion

- The supercool expansion is still valid for $\epsilon \sim 1$ only if the number of degrees of freedom N with dominant coupling to the field χ is large

$$g \sim \sqrt{N}\tau, \qquad \tilde{g} \lesssim \sqrt[3]{N}\tau \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{g}^3/g^3 \lesssim 1/\sqrt{N}$$

- The cubic term in the effective potential gets suppressed by a factor $\lesssim 1/\sqrt{N}$
- $1/X = 6\bar{\beta}/\epsilon g^4$ is still small for $\epsilon \sim 1$
- Truncating the small-x expansion of the thermal function up to order $x^{3/2}$ because the higher-order terms involve smaller and smaller coefficients

Improved Supercool Expansion

- In general, when $\epsilon \sim 1$, in the effective potential **the cubic term cannot be treated as a perturbation** (i.e. the term of order $x^{3/2}$ is not perturbative in the expansion of the thermal functions)

- However we can **treat the cubic term as LO** and the other higher order term as a perturbation JCAP **12** (2023), 046 arXiv:2307.04694 [hep-ph], A. Salvio

- We can rewrite the effective potential and the 3d euclidean action at the LO of the **Improved Supercool Expansion** as

$$\tilde{V}_{\text{eff}}(\phi, T) = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi^3 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4}\phi^4, \qquad S_3 = -\frac{8\pi m^3}{k^2}\int_0^\infty d\rho\, \rho^2 \tilde{V}_{\text{eff}}(\phi, T),$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}(T) \equiv \frac{\lambda m^2}{k^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^2 \bar{\beta}}{12\, \tilde{g}^6/g^2} \log(\chi_0/T) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{9\epsilon}.$$

Improved Supercool Expansion

- We are interested for values of $\tilde{\lambda} \sim 1$: for such values we can write S_3 as JHEP **02** (2023), 125, arXiv:2212.08085 [hep-ph], N. Levi, T. Opferkuch, D. Redigolo

$$S_3 = \frac{27\pi m^3}{2k^2} \frac{1 + \exp(-1/\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}})}{1 + \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\lambda}}.$$

- This expression reproduces the numerical calculation at the $\sim 1\%$ level for the values of $\tilde{\lambda}$ we are interested in

- The validity of this expression of S_3 has been established in a **model independent way within the improved supercool expansion**

Improved Supercool Expansion

- Solving the equation $\Gamma(T_n) \approx H_n^4$

$$a_1 - a_2\tilde{\lambda} = F(\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \frac{1 + \exp(-1/\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}})}{2/9 + \tilde{\lambda}},$$

$$a_1 \equiv \frac{c\, c_3 k^3}{3\pi a \bar{\beta} m^2}, \qquad a_2 \equiv \frac{4 c_3 k^4}{3\pi a \bar{\beta}^2 m^4}.$$

- We are interested in the smallest real and positive solution $\tilde{\lambda}_n(T) \equiv \tilde{\lambda}(T_n)$ for which the straight line $a_1 - a_2\tilde{\lambda}$ reaches $F(\tilde{\lambda})$ from below in increasing $\tilde{\lambda}$ (if it exist)

- Following the same steps as before we can calculate β/H_n

$$\frac{\beta}{H_n} \approx \frac{\pi^3 g^5}{6\sqrt{3}\tilde{g}^8} \frac{(4\pi)^2 \bar{\beta}}{\tilde{g}^4} (-F'(\tilde{\lambda_n})) - 4.$$

Roadmap

1. FOPT, Supercool Expansion and Improved Supercool Expansion
2. Late blooming mechanism

Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 2, L021303, J. Liu, L. Bian, R. G. Cai, Z. K. Guo and S. J. Wang

- Vacuum decay is a **probabilistic process**
- There can be some regions that **persist in the false vacuum** for a longer time than the background
- These regions can eventually **collapse into Primordial Black Holes (PBH)** if the density contrast reaches the critical value δ_c



Figure 3: Late Blooming Mechanism

Late Blooming Mechanism

Expanding the vacuum decay rate as

$$\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t_n)\text{exp}(\beta(t-t_n) + \beta_2(t-t_n)^2 + \ldots) \approx H_n^4 e^{\beta(t-t_n)},$$

one can characterize the late blooming mechanism in supercooled 1OPT:
Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 4, 043514, arXiv:2305.04942 [hep-ph], Y. Gouttenoire, T. Volansky

Collapse Probability, PBH Fraction and PBH Mass

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm coll} \approx \text{exp}\left[-a_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\frac{\beta}{H_n}\right)^{b_{\mathcal{P}}}(1+\delta_c)^{c_{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\beta}{H_n}}\right], \qquad \text{for } \alpha = \left(\frac{T_{\rm eq}}{T_n}\right)^4 \gg 1, \qquad \delta_c \simeq 0.45,$$

$$a_{\mathcal{P}} \approx 0.5646, \qquad b_{\mathcal{P}} \approx 1.266, \qquad c_{\mathcal{P}} \approx 0.6639,$$

$$f_{\rm PBH} \approx \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\rm coll}}{6.0\times 10^{-12}}\frac{T_{\rm eq}}{500\text{GeV}}, \qquad M_{\rm PBH} \approx M_{\odot}\left(\frac{20}{g_*(T_{\rm eq})}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{140\text{MeV}}{T_{\rm eq}}\right)^2.$$

It is also possible calculate the RMS Kerr Parameter of the PBHs
arXiv:2409.06494 [gr-qc], I. K. Banerjee, T. Harada

PBH Initial Spin

$$\sqrt{\langle a_*^2 \rangle} \approx \frac{2.1 \times 10^{-3}}{23.484 - 1.25 \log_{10}(f_{\mathrm{PBH}}) - 1.25 \log_{10}\left(\frac{\Omega_{\mathrm{CDM}}}{0.26}\right) - 0.625 \log_{10}\left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{PBH}}}{10^{15}\mathrm{g}}\right)}.$$

We can express the physical parameters of the Late Blooming Mechanism in terms of $(\chi_0, \bar{\beta}, g, \tilde{g})$

Late Blooming & Supercool Expansion

$$T_{\rm eq}^4 \approx \frac{15\bar{\beta}\chi_0^4}{8\pi^2 g_*(T_{\rm eq})}, \qquad M_{\rm PBH} \approx M_\odot \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3\bar{\beta}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{280\text{MeV}}{\chi_0}\right)^2, \qquad H_n \sim H_I \approx \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\beta}}\chi_0^2}{4\sqrt{3}\bar{M}_P},$$

$$\frac{\beta}{H_n} \approx \frac{a}{\log^2(\chi_0/T_n)} - 4 \qquad \textbf{(Non-Improved LO)},$$

$$\frac{\beta}{H_n} \approx \frac{\pi^3 g^5}{6\sqrt{3}\tilde{g}^8}\frac{(4\pi)^2\bar{\beta}}{\tilde{g}^4}(-F'(\tilde{\lambda}_n)) - 4 \qquad \textbf{(Improved LO)}.$$

Then we can calculate:

$$f_{\rm PBH}(\beta/H_n(\chi_0, \bar{\beta}, g, \tilde{g})), \qquad \sqrt{\langle a_*^2\rangle}(f_{\rm PBH}(\beta/H_n(\chi_0, \bar{\beta}, g, \tilde{g})), M_{\rm PBH}(T_{\rm eq}(\chi_0, \bar{\beta}))).$$

Late Blooming & Supercool Expansion

The expansion

$$\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t_n)\text{exp}(\beta(t-t_n) + \beta_2(t-t_n)^2 + ...) \approx H_n^4 e^{\beta(t-t_n)},$$

can be justified on the ground of the supercool expansion. Using:

$$dt = -dT/(TH) \approx -dT/(TH_I) \longrightarrow T(t) \approx T_n e^{-H_I(t-t_n)},$$

for the supercool expansion at LO

$$\frac{S_3}{T} \approx c_3 \frac{m}{T\lambda} = \frac{c_3 g}{\sqrt{12\bar{\beta}}\text{log}\frac{\chi_0}{T}} \equiv \frac{a}{X + \text{log}\frac{T_n}{T}} \approx \frac{a}{X + H_I(t-t_n)},$$

$$X \equiv \text{log}\frac{\chi_0}{T_n},$$

we get

$$\Gamma(t) \approx T^4(t)\mathsf{exp}(-S_3/T(t)) \approx T_n^4\mathsf{exp}\left(-\frac{a}{X+H_I(t-t_n)} - 4H_I(t-t_n)\right).$$

Expanding for t around t_n

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{H_I(t-t_n)}{X}} = 1 - \frac{H_I(t-t_n)}{X} + \left(\frac{H_I(t-t_n)}{X}\right)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^k\left(\frac{H_I(t-t_n)}{X}\right)^k + \ldots$$

noting that $H_I = H_{\rm eq}/\sqrt{2} = \gamma/(\sqrt{3}t_{\rm eq})$

$$\frac{H_I(t-t_n)}{X} = \frac{\gamma(\tau-\tau_n)}{\sqrt{3}X}, \qquad \gamma = 0.76329\ldots, \qquad \tau \equiv \frac{t}{t_{\rm eq}},$$

Late Blooming & Supercool Expansion

- As long as $\tau - \tau_n$ is small respect to $\sqrt{3}X/\gamma$, $\Gamma(t) \approx H_n^4 e^{\beta(t-t_n)}$ is a **good approximation**.
- This holds also for the improved supercool expansion ($\epsilon \sim 1$ at $T = T_n$)

$$\bar{\beta} \sim \frac{g^4}{(4\pi)^2} \quad (\textbf{loop suppressed}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad X \sim 26 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}X} \sim 10^{-2}$$



Figure 4: Improved Supercool at LO



Figure 5: Supercool at LO

Late Blooming & Supercool Expansion



Figure 6: Improved Supercool at LO



Figure 7: Supercool at LO



Figure 8: Improved LO



Figure 9: Improved LO



Figure 10: Improved Supercool LO



Figure 11: Improved Supercool LO

Late Blooming & Supercool Expansion



Figure 12: Supercool LO



Figure 13: Supercool LO

An example: B-L Model

- $U(1)_{B-L}$ model phenomenology can be described with Improved Supercool Expansion JCAP **12** (2023), 046 arXiv:2307.04694 [hep-ph], A. Salvio

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}^{\rm ns} + D_\mu A^\dagger D^\mu A + \bar{N}_j i\gamma_\mu D^\mu N_j - \frac{1}{4} B'_{\mu\nu} B'^{\mu\nu} + \left(Y_{ij} L_i \mathcal{H} N_j + \frac{1}{2} y_{ij} A N_i N_j + \text{h.c.} \right) - \lambda_a |A|^4 + \lambda_{ah} |A|^2 |\mathcal{H}|^2$$

- The gauge group is $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}$ and

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g_3 T^\alpha G^\alpha_\mu + i g_2 T^a W^a_\mu + i g_Y \mathcal{Y} B_\mu + i[g_m \mathcal{Y} + g'_1 (B-L)] B'_\mu$$

An example: B-L Model

- the one-loop RG equation for the quartic coupling λ_a

$$(4\pi)^2\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}\lambda_a = 96g_1'^4 - 48\lambda_a g_1'^2 + 20\lambda_a^2 + 2\lambda_{ah}^2 + 2\lambda_a \mathsf{Tr}(yy^\dagger) - \mathsf{Tr}(yy^\dagger yy^\dagger)$$

- Neglecting λ_{ah} and y (right-handed neutrino Majorana masses are taken below EW scale)

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{96g_1'^4}{(4\pi)^2}$$

- The background dependent Z' mass is

$$M_{Z'}(\chi) = 2|g_1'|\chi$$

An example: B-L Model

- The collective couplings are:

$$g = 2\sqrt{3}|g_1'|, \qquad \tilde{g} = 2\sqrt[3]{3}|g_1'| = \frac{g}{\sqrt[6]{3}},$$

we get

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{2g^4}{3(4\pi)^2}$$

and

$$m_\chi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\frac{g^2}{4\pi}}\chi_0, \qquad M_h = \sqrt{\lambda_{ah}}\chi_0.$$

An example: B-L Model



Figure 14: Improved Supercool at LO

Conclusions

- The (improved) supercool expansion is a **powerful tool** to study the **phenomenology of FOPT**
- The FOPT phenomenology related to a **general RSB model** can be described by using **just few parameters** $(\chi_0, \bar{\beta}, g, \tilde{g})$
- We described using (improved) supercool expansion the production of PBH via late blooming mechanism, and provided a model that can account for an appreciable fraction of PBH



Figure 15: Energy Densities Late blooming



Figure 16: Density Contrast



Figure 17: Hubble rate Late Blooming Mechanism



Figure 18: Hubble Radius late blooming mechanism