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## Collective motion



## Background

- Classic models of collective motion assume that an agent moves along the average direction of its near neighbours.
- Recent empirical studies have shown that organisms interact through rules simpler than averaging information of several individuals.
- Fish interact with a single randomly chosen neighbour or with the nearest neighbour.
- In echolocating bats, the returning echoes are faint and masked by their neighbours' loud calls. So, bats detect only one neighbour at a time.
- While group polarisation is well studied, the mechanisms that keep the group cohesive particularly the role of stochastic decision making-are not explored.


## Model

- We developed an agent-based spatially explicit model to study the dynamics of collective motion.
- While the model broadly follows the principles (alignment, attraction and spontaneous turning) of classic self-propelled particle models of collective motion, we make two key distinctions. Interactions are probabilistic and asynchronous.


## Choice of neighbours and interaction types
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## Choice of neighbours and interaction types

ALIGNMENT

Topological neighbours: $K=1$ Pairwise interactions: $k=1$



## Group Cohesion and Quantification

Cohesion parameter $(C)=\frac{\text { Size of the largest cluster }}{\text { Group Size }}$


Group cohesion when agents interact randomly with the nearest neighbour.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=30 \text { (Size of the group) } \\
& \mathrm{K}=\mathbb{1} \text { (Number of visible neighbours) } \\
& \mathrm{k}=1 \text { (Number of neighbours interacted with) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Agents break into clusters of sizes 2-3 and drift apart from each other.

Group cohesion when agents interact randomly with 1 of 5 nearest neighbours

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=30 \text { (Size of the group) } \\
& \mathrm{K}=5 \text { (Number of visible neighbours) } \\
& \mathrm{k}=1 \text { (Number of neighbours interacted with) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The group stays more or less cohesive with occasional breakups.

Group cohesion when agents interact randomly with 1 of 9 nearest neighbours

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=30 \text { (Size of the group) } \\
& \mathrm{K}=9 \text { (Number of visible neighbours) } \\
& \mathrm{k}=1 \text { (Number of neighbours interacted with) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The group stays cohesive most of the time

## Group cohesion is achieved when organisms interact with just one neighbour
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Attraction interaction network reveals why cohesion emerges


Attraction interaction network reveals why cohesion emerges


## Attraction interaction network reveals why cohesion emerges



## Cohesion due to averaging interactions

## ATTRACTION

 ALIGNMENT

Topological neighbours: $K=1$ Pairwise interactions: $k=1$

Topological neighbours: $K=3$ Pairwise interactions: $k=1$


## Cohesion due to averaging interactions



## Conclusion

## We show that group-level cohesion can emerge when organisms move towards randomly chosen nearby organism.

Cohesion emerges as choosing a neighbour randomly creates a wellconnected long-ranged interaction network.
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